

Memory Training for Older Adults

Karen Miller, Linda Ercoli, Jeanne Kim and Gary W. Small

*Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior,
David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, USA*

Normal ageing is typically associated with a decline in select domains of cognitive functioning, including processing speed, memory and executive functioning^{1,2}. In addition, non-pharmacological interventions such as cognitive training and rehabilitation are being developed to enhance existing cognitive capacities, prolong independence in functional activities and promote healthy ageing. However, there is controversy as to whether cognitive stimulation enhances mental function³ or decreases risk for Alzheimer's disease⁴.

This chapter will present an overview of cognitive interventions that have been used with older adults, including healthy individuals with age-related memory changes, those with mild cognitive impairment (MCI), and persons with dementia, such as Alzheimer's disease (AD), and will include discussion of memory systems, metamemory, self-perceptions of memory, and interventions.

MEMORY SYSTEMS

Accumulated knowledge from studies in humans and animals has led to a widely accepted model of memory as being composed of multiple separate but parallel systems⁵. These multiple memory systems can be conceptualized as being either *declarative* or *non-declarative*⁵. Non-declarative memory is a general term for memory systems that do not involve conscious recollections but actions and performance-based tasks. Non-declarative memory is often targeted in rehabilitation interventions for skill maintenance, teaching skills, or functional abilities⁶. Declarative memory is the conscious recollection of information such as facts (*semantic memory*) and experienced single events linked to time and place (*episodic memory*)⁷. Individuals with progressive memory disorders, such as amnesic MCI and mild AD, present with deficits in declarative memory⁸, which is the target of most memory enhancement strategies for non-demented persons and persons with milder forms of cognitive impairment.

Another conceptualization of memory relevant to cognitive enhancement interventions is the *levels of processing* approach, which comes from learning theory⁹. Levels of processing is the concept that memory is a function of the degree to which a stimulus is analysed. The deeper and more meaningful the analysis, the better the stimulus is remembered. In memory training, forming associations, images or stories can be considered deep processing strategies. It renders the information more distinctive, and helps integrate new information with a framework of pre-existing knowledge that provides cues for later retrieval¹⁰.

METAMEMORY AND SELF-PERCEPTION OF MEMORY

A person's subjective understanding of their memory functioning is called *metamemory*. Most individuals have some understanding of their memory strengths, which might guide subsequent behaviours¹¹. In non-depressed individuals, memory self-perceptions have been found to be accurate indicators of memory difficulties on objective tests¹², and may be indicators of underlying brain processes¹³. Several studies indicate that memory training interventions improve self-perceptions of memory ability and reduce memory complaints^{14–16}. In healthy older adults, memory training may result in self-reported improvements in stability of memory functioning, and reduced anxiety and stress about memory functioning¹⁵. Effects of memory training on self-perceived memory are typically small, but nevertheless significant^{14,17}. However, self-perceived memory ability is not always accurate. Persons with developing dementia may lose awareness of memory dysfunction as memory impairment advances^{18,19}.

TYPES OF COGNITIVE INTERVENTIONS

There are three primary approaches to cognitive training intervention: *cognitive stimulation*, *cognitive rehabilitation* and *cognitive training*²⁰. The choice of approach depends on the degree and nature of deficits.

Cognitive stimulation typically involves participation in group activities and/or discussions aimed at general enhancement of cognitive and social functioning²¹. These types of interventions are non-specific to a cognitive domain, and include such activities as discussions of current events, supervised recreational activities, and group reminiscence therapies. Cognitive stimulation is typically used for demented patients and often as a control condition in studies investigating the effects of cognitive training.

Cognitive rehabilitation is an individualized intervention designed for patients with a specific brain injury or neurological disorder. Health-care providers work collaboratively with patients and their families or caregivers in order to identify personally relevant goals in day-to-day living and to develop strategies²⁰ that enhance functional tasks and activities of daily living, rather than increasing performance on a specific cognitive task²².

The focus of this chapter is *cognitive training*, which involves learning and practising strategies to improve specific cognitive

functions, such as memory, attention, or problem-solving. Cognitive training is often administered to people with mild forms of difficulties associated with normal ageing, and to clinical populations, including persons with MCI, dementia or schizophrenia. The goals of cognitive training are to maintain or improve cognitive function, and to learn to compensate for deficits. Cognitive training is based on the assumption that, with intensive training, people will apply the strategies they learn to real-life situations beyond the training session. Cognitive training typically involves teaching skills and strategies in a standardized and structured fashion to individuals or to small groups¹². Strategies vary in difficulty level and can be traditional paper–pencil tasks, classroom instruction or computerized activities²⁰.

MEMORY TRAINING APPROACHES

Many training techniques have been developed to specifically improve learning and recall. The techniques vary with respect to complexity, structure and application. One example is *errorless learning*, which is based on the premise that remembering new information will be more efficient if errors during learning are minimized and/or immediately corrected^{23–25}. *Spaced retrieval*²⁶, which is also known as expanded rehearsal²⁷, is another common technique and involves learning and retaining new information by recalling the information over increasingly longer periods of time^{28,29}.

Mnemonic strategies have been one of the primary memory interventions used within clinical settings. Generally, mnemonic strategies facilitate encoding and aid retrieval by enhancing the meaningfulness or personal relevance of information. Mnemonic strategies involve organizing information in meaningful ways, forming associations, or forming visual images. Examples include: (i) verbal organization (i.e. forming acronyms), (ii) semantic clustering and elaboration (i.e. categorizing lists of words into subgroups or clusters of items that share something in common; or creating a story linking all target words on a list), and (iii) visual imagery strategies (i.e. method of loci, face-name association, creating a mental picture of a target)¹¹.

One of the most popular and oldest mnemonic strategies is the *method of loci* technique, which was used by ancient orators to remember long speeches. The method of loci involves imagining a familiar path and identifying unique landmarks along the path. Visual imagery is used to associate items on a list with each landmark along the imagined path. To remember the list, simply take a mental ‘walk’ along the path, recalling each image at each landmark.

Another popular mnemonic strategy is *face-name association*³⁰. Individuals can use the face-name method for remembering someone they just met. The face-name strategy involves three steps: (i) looking at a person’s face and identifying a prominent facial feature, (ii) transforming the person’s name into something imaginative or that sounds concrete or meaningful, and (iii) developing a visual image integrating the prominent facial feature with the transformed name.

The efficacy of mnemonic or memory training strategies has been examined in a number of studies. Factors associated with memory improvement in one meta-analysis included younger age, higher cognitive functioning, group setting (versus individual training), shorter duration of sessions, education and ‘pretraining’¹⁷. Pretraining allows the participant to get comfortable with visualization and move beyond their comfort zone of thinking about ordinary or logical images. In addition, the number of sessions did not appear to limit the efficacy of memory training¹⁷. In fact, interventions as short as 4 weeks

can be just as effective as programmes that are 8 weeks, or even 6–12 months long^{31,32}. Most research demonstrating effectiveness of mnemonic techniques has focused on healthy adults, both young and old, but persons over 75 years of age show less improvement³³. The few studies of efficacy in MCI populations have yielded mixed results^{34,35}. A subset of subjects from the ACTIVE study (Advanced Cognitive Training for Independent and Vital Elderly) who were identified as ‘memory impaired’ (comparable to MCI) showed no significant benefit from memory training when compared to a no-contact control group, but had gains in speed of processing and inductive reasoning³⁶.

COMPUTER-ASSISTED COGNITIVE INTERVENTIONS

With the advancement of technology, researchers and clinicians are interested in computer-assisted training interventions for both healthy older adults and people with memory impairments. Initial studies have demonstrated that computer-based cognitive training has improved learning efficiency in healthy older adults³⁷, and supported cognitive and functional improvements in patients with AD³⁸. Computer-based software technology has also recently been introduced in rehabilitative and training settings for patients with AD and MCI. Results seemed to suggest that the individualized rehabilitative intervention could have different effects according to a patient’s diagnosis³⁹. Cognitively intact older adults who received computer-based training demonstrated improvements in information processing, working memory and verbal learning/memory, and these gains were maintained over a five-month follow-up⁴⁰. In mildly demented patients, computer-based training improved immediate recall of visual information and delayed retention of topographical information³⁸. Combining a cognitive seminar and computer-assisted training in demented patients resulted in short-term improvement on measures of global cognitive functioning and short-term memory, as well as behavioural and social improvements⁴¹.

Posit Science has developed a cognitive training program that contains increasingly difficult tasks of stimulus recognition, discrimination, sequencing and memory. Participants with mild cognitive changes associated with age demonstrated a significant increase on all computer tasks, with maintenance of improvements in attention over three months, when compared to active and no-contact controls⁴². In a subsequent larger trial, the Improvement in Memory with Plasticity-based Adaptive Cognitive Training (IMPACT) study⁴³, subjects demonstrated improvement in auditory memory and attention as compared to a general cognitive stimulation program that functioned as the active control condition.

Additionally, pilot studies have evaluated a computerized program, Brain Fitness by Dakim, which provides cognitive training and stimulation in language, visual processing, and memory domains. Results indicated improvement in memory functioning for those who could participate in the higher levels of the program, in addition to improved encoding and delayed recall for verbal pairs after just 10 sessions^{44,45}. Presently, a clinical trial is underway to investigate the short- and long-term impact of this computer program on memory functioning in a larger sample of older adults with mild memory complaints as compared to wait-list controls.

As people become more technologically aware, computer programs are likely to become an important conduit for simulating real-life environments and integrating goal-directed behaviours in order to increase ecological validity³⁸. These newer computer-based cognitive training interventions, however, have both advantages and

limitations. Many of the tasks included in these interventions are laboratory based and likely lack ecological validity for functional activities. Moreover, many older adults have not had regular exposure to modern technology and thus may be hesitant or cautious in using them. Some of the advantages to using computer-assisted cognitive training programs are their flexibility and ability to tailor interventions to specific aspects of cognitive impairments, as well as the ability of the computer to provide immediate and specific feedback regarding performance⁴⁰.

The effects of computer-based training on brain function have not been widely studied. To date, one study has shown that computer use (via internet searching) may involve more than a two-fold increase in brain activation (as measured by functional magnetic resonance imaging) for the areas of the brain that are associated with vision, complex reasoning and decision making⁴⁶.

COMPREHENSIVE PROGRAMMES

Comprehensive or 'multifactorial' memory training programmes address non-cognitive factors in addition to teaching cognitive enhancement strategies. Non-cognitive factors include: (i) self-efficacy, expectations, and beliefs about one's ability to improve cognition; (ii) anxiety; and (iii) general education about memory^{10,14,17,47,48}. Comprehensive training programmes may include interventions for stress or anxiety reduction, and cognitive restructuring to counter negative and self-deprecating thoughts about memory ability. Most programmes include pre-training because this facilitates learning more complex cognitive techniques. Overall, these integrative approaches take into account the multiple factors that impact an individual's receptiveness, or response to cognitive enhancement interventions.

There is recent evidence that the role of lifestyle and environmental factors can be neuroprotective and possibly lower the risk for developing AD⁴⁹; therefore, some researchers have developed comprehensive healthy lifestyle programmes that incorporate aspects of diet, physical exercise, relaxation strategies and mental exercise⁵⁰. Small and colleagues⁵¹ developed a 14-day lifestyle programme consisting of memory mnemonics, mental puzzles, cardiovascular exercises, diet and recipe suggestions and relaxation strategies. Subjects in the healthy lifestyle intervention group demonstrated significant improvement on objective measures of verbal fluency, and FDG-PET imaging revealed a 5% decrease in activity in the left dorsolateral pre-frontal cortex, an area associated with working memory, semantic organization skills, anxiety and verbal fluency. This decrease in activity was interpreted as greater cognitive efficiency. This 14-day programme was then expanded into a classroom-based Memory Fitness curriculum, which has recently been implemented and studied in retirement homes^{52,53}. Participants in the memory fitness programme had fewer memory concerns and better performance on immediate and delayed recall measures as compared to controls.

Researchers have developed similar programmes for individuals with amnesic MCI^{34,35}. These programmes are typically comprised of multifaceted group-training sessions that include relaxation techniques, education regarding memory and ageing, memory skills training, cognitive restructuring of memory-related beliefs, information regarding appropriate diet and recreational activities, and availability of community resources³⁵. Intervention programmes for those with MCI typically focus on improving memory for daily tasks and maintaining a level of functional independence⁵⁴. A four-week

multi-component rehabilitation programme resulted in improvement in activities of daily living, memory functioning and mood compared to a wait-list control group⁵⁵. An additional programme utilized occupational therapy and behavioural training with computerized cognitive training; results showed improvement in cognitive and affective status of patients with MCI and mild dementia⁵⁶.

Another area of research to consider is the combination of medication with a computer-based cognitive training programme, suggesting that a combination of pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatment in MCI might maximize the effects of acetylcholinesterase inhibitors and delay memory deterioration and conversion to AD^{32,57,58}. Gains may be maintained for approximately six months to one year, but individuals tend to experience a gradual deterioration by year two⁵⁷. Although the effects are time limited, these findings suggest a complementary relationship between cognitive interventions and drug therapy for both cognitive and psychosocial disturbances.

LONG-TERM OUTCOMES

Few studies have evaluated the long-term effects of cognitive interventions in healthy older adults. Overall, the effects of memory training interventions have been found to last from six months to five years⁵⁹⁻⁶², although the benefits tend to attenuate over time. The largest clinical trial to date is the ACTIVE study ($n = 2832$), which evaluated the effectiveness and durability of cognitive interventions on objective cognitive tests and on subjective and objective instrumental activities of daily living (e.g. financial management, driving)^{62,63}. This longitudinal study incorporated 3 cognitive training groups receiving a 10-session training programme for memory, reasoning, or speed of processing, and a wait-list control group. Subjects also received periodic refresher courses, called 'booster sessions', on the skills initially trained. Follow-up results from two⁶³ and five years⁶² revealed that the cognitive interventions in each group helped increase performance on objective measures of cognitive ability for which they were trained. According to self-report measures, this effect was significant only for the reasoning group, although the effect sizes for memory and processing speed were similar in magnitude to the reasoning effect sizes. Performance-based results demonstrated significant speed of processing benefits after additional booster sessions⁶². It is important to note that the lasting impact of cognitive interventions is still dependent on the individual's effort and motivation to maintain the use of the strategies. Future research in this area may consider factors such as motivation and maintenance in order to preserve treatment gains.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Overall, cognitive interventions are effective in improving cognition in subjects with mild age-related cognitive declines. However, there are important aspects of cognitive training that deserve more scrutiny. For instance, there is a need for more studies of persons with mild cognitive impairment and additional longitudinal studies in healthy older adults, in order to address whether cognitive training can delay dementia onset. Future studies should include functional outcome measures, to better address whether training can generalize beyond laboratory tests and improve practical, day-to-day functions^{34,35}. In addition, there are still unaddressed questions, such as how engaging in stimulating everyday activities (also called an engaged lifestyle) is as effective as specific cognitive training^{64,65}.

Another important area for study is non-compliance, which may partially account for the lack of generalization and maintenance of cognitive strategy use. The key to long-term benefit appears to be continued use of cognitive strategies after initial training ends⁶⁰. One solution to improve compliance is the implementation of periodic 'booster sessions', which may further help individuals use techniques with greater consistency and in more generalized situations (e.g. daily 'to-do' lists)^{62,63}.

Another limitation is the knowledge-base for the impact of 'brain games' and programmes targeted at stimulating cognition (e.g. crossword puzzles, sudoku problems, hand-held computerized games) on facilitating memory improvement. Although epidemiological studies suggest an association between engaging in cognitively stimulating activities and lower dementia risk^{4,66}, these studies do not prove a cause and effect relationship.

Finally, the effect of cognitive training on brain function has received minimal attention. In the few studies available, cognitive training does affect brain activation or resting state brain activity, including areas associated with encoding and retrieval^{50,67,68}, and training may also result in changes in neurochemistry⁶⁹. Including brain function outcome measures will be important in addressing the more direct effects of cognitive training on neural circuitry and the mechanisms of action in cognitive enhancement interventions.

CONCLUSIONS

In sum, the research to date suggests that cognitive training is beneficial to individuals with memory complaints associated with normal ageing. The effectiveness in demented individuals is less consistent compared to persons with normal ageing. Even less is known about the effects of training on persons with MCI. There are a number of factors to consider before implementing a treatment trial, including the design of the training, the duration of the training, long-term compliance and the possible necessity of concurrent medication for those with cognitive impairment. Many options and designs are available to tailor programmes to the needs of individuals, or to develop programmes for small groups. Additional studies on the effectiveness of cognitive training in persons with MCI will help clarify the outcomes for this growing patient group.

REFERENCES

1. Park DC, Lautenschlager G, Hedden T *et al*. Models of visuospatial and verbal memory across the adult lifespan. *Psychol Aging* 2002; **17**(2): 299–320.
2. Salthouse TA. When does age-related cognitive decline begin? *Neurobiol Aging* 2009; **30**(4): 507–14.
3. Salthouse TA, Berish DE, Miles JD. The role of cognitive stimulation on the relations between age and cognitive functioning. *Psychol Aging* 2002; **17**(4): 548–57.
4. Wilson RS, Scherr PA, Schneider JA, Tang Y, Bennett DA. Relation of cognitive activity to risk of developing Alzheimer disease. *Neurology* 2007; **69**: 1911–20.
5. Squire LR. Memory systems of the brain: a brief history and current perspective. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* 2004; **82**: 171–7.
6. Cohen NJ, Squire LR. Preserved learning and retention of pattern analyzing skill in amnesia: dissociation of knowing how and knowing that. *Science* 1980; **210**: 207–9.
7. Tulving E. *Elements of Episodic Memory*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1983.
8. Cummings JL. *The Neuropsychiatry of Alzheimer's Disease and Related Dementias*. Independence, KY: Martin Dunitz Taylor and Francis Group, 2003.
9. Craik F, Lockhart RS. Levels of processing: a framework for memory research. *J Verb Learn Verb Behav* 1972; **11**L: 71–84.
10. Yesavage J, Rose TL. Semantic elaboration and the method of loci: a new trip for older learners. *Exp Aging Res* 1984; **10**: 155–9.
11. Sohlberg MM, Mateer CA. *Cognitive Rehabilitation: An Integrative Neuropsychological Approach*. New York: Guilford Press, 2001.
12. Belleville S, Chertkow H, Gauthier S. Working memory and control of attention in persons with Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment. *Neuropsychology* 2007; **21**: 458–69.
13. Ercoli LM, Siddarth P, Huang S-C *et al*. Perceived loss of memory ability and cerebral metabolic decline in persons with the apolipoprotein E-4 genetic risk for Alzheimer's disease. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2006; **63**: 442–8.
14. Floyd M, Scogin F. Effects of memory training on the subjective memory functioning and mental health of older adults: a meta-analysis. *Psychol Aging* 1997; **12**: 150–61.
15. Valentijn SA, van Hooren SA, Bosma H *et al*. The effect of two types of memory training on subjective and objective memory performance in healthy individuals aged 55 years and older: a randomized controlled trial. *Patient Educ Couns* 2005; **57**(1): 106–14.
16. Lachman ME, Weaver SL, Bandura M, Elliott E, Lewkowicz CJ. Improving memory and control beliefs through cognitive restructuring and self-generated strategies. *J Gerontol* 1992; **47**(5): P293–9.
17. Verhaeghen P, Marcoen A, Goossens L. Improving memory performance in the aged through mnemonic training: a meta-analytic study. *Psychol Aging* 1992; **7**: 242–51.
18. Correa DD, Graves RE, Costa L. Awareness of memory deficit in Alzheimer's disease patients and memory-impaired older adults. *Neuropsychol Dev Cogn B Aging Neuropsychol Cogn* 1996; **3**: 215–28.
19. Vogel A, Stokholm J, Gade A *et al*. Awareness of deficits in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: do MCI patients have impaired insight? *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* 2004; **17**: 181–7.
20. Clare L, Woods B. Cognitive rehabilitation and cognitive training for early-stage Alzheimer's disease and vascular dementia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2003; **4**: CD003260.
21. Boylin W, Gordon S, Nehrke M. Reminiscence and ego integrity in institutionalized elderly. *Gerontologist* 1976; **16**: 118–24.
22. Wilson BA. Towards a comprehensive model of cognitive rehabilitation. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2002; **12**(2): 97–110.
23. De Vreese LP, Mirco N, Fioravanti M, Belloi L, Zanetti O. Memory rehabilitation in Alzheimer's disease: a review of progress. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2001; **16**: 794–809.
24. Evans J, Levine B, Bateman A. Errorless learning. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2004; **4**(4): 467–76.
25. Baddeley AD, Wilson BA. When implicit learning fails: amnesia and the problem of error elimination. *Neuropsychologia* 1994; **32**: 53–68.
26. Landauer TK, Bjork RA. Optimal rehearsal patterns and name learning. In Gruneberg MM, Harris PE, Sykes RN (eds), *Practical Aspects of Memory*. New York: Academic Press, 1978, 625–32.

27. Moffat NJ. Home-based cognitive rehabilitation with the elderly. In Poon LW, Rubin DC, Wilson BC (eds), *Everyday Cognition in Adulthood and Late Life*. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1989, 659–80.
28. Bjork RA. Retrieval practice and the maintenance of knowledge. In Gruneberg MM, Morris PE, Sykes RN (eds), *Practical Aspects of Memory: Current Research and Issues*, vol. 1. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1988, 396–401.
29. Logan JM, Balota DA. Expanded vs. equal interval spaced retrieval practice: exploring different schedules of spacing and retention interval in younger and older adults. *Neuropsychol Dev Cogn B Aging Neuropsychol Cogn* 2008; **15**: 257–80.
30. McCarty DL. Investigation of a visual imagery mnemonic device for acquiring face-name associations. *J Exp Psychol Hum Learn* 1980; **6**: 145–55.
31. Becker H, McDougall Jr GJ, Douglas NE, Arheart KL. Comparing the efficiency of eight-session versus four-session memory intervention for older adults. *Arch Psychiatr Nurs* 2008; **22**(2): 87–94.
32. Rozzini L, Costardi D, Chilovi BV *et al*. Efficacy of cognitive rehabilitation in patients with mild cognitive impairment treated with cholinesterase inhibitors. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2007; **22**: 356–60.
33. Singer T, Lindenberger U, Baltes PB. Plasticity of memory for new learning in very old age: a story of major loss? *Psychol Aging* 2003; **18**(2): 306–17.
34. Rapp S, Brenes G, Marsh AP. Memory enhancement training for older adults with mild cognitive impairment: a preliminary study. *Aging Ment Health* 2002; **6**(1): 5–11.
35. Troyer AK, Murphy KJ, Anderson ND, Moscovitch M, Craik FI. Changing everyday memory behaviour in amnesic mild cognitive impairment: a randomized controlled trial. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2008; **18**(1): 65–88.
36. Unverzagt FW, Kasten L, Johnson KE *et al*. Effect of memory impairment on training outcomes in ACTIVE. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 2007; **13**: 953–60.
37. Hickman JM, Rogers W, Fisk A. Training older adults to use new technology. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2007; **62B**: 77–84.
38. Schreiber M, Schweizer A, Lutz K, Kalveram KT, Jancke L. Potential of an interactive computer-based training in the rehabilitation of dementia: an initial study. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 1999; **9**(2): 155–67.
39. Cipriani G, Bianchetti A, Trabucchi M. Outcomes of a computer-based cognitive rehabilitation program on Alzheimer's disease patients compared with those on patients affected by mild cognitive impairment. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2006; **43**: 327–35.
40. Gunther VK, Schafer P, Holzner BJ, Kemmler GW. Long-term improvements in cognitive performance through computer-assisted cognitive training: a pilot study in a residential home for older people. *Aging Ment Health* 2003; **7**(3): 200–6.
41. Mate-Kole CC, Fellows RP, Said PC *et al*. Use of computer assisted and interactive cognitive training programmes with moderate to severely demented individuals: a preliminary study. *Aging Ment Health* 2007; **11**(5): 485–95.
42. Mahncke HE, Connor BB, Appelman J *et al*. Memory enhancement in healthy older adults using a brain plasticity-based training program: a randomized, controlled study. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2006; **103**(33): 12523–8.
43. Smith GE, Housen P, Yaffe K *et al*. A cognitive training program based on principles of brain plasticity: results from the Improvement in Memory with Plasticity-based Adaptive Cognitive Training (IMPACT) study. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2009; **57**(4): 594–603.
44. Miller KJ, Siddarth P, O'Toole E *et al*. [M]Power[®] by Dakim: evaluating the effects of a computer fitness system for older adults. Poster session presented at 13th Annual UCLA Research Conference on Aging, 2008, Los Angeles.
45. Miller KJ, Siddarth P, O'Toole E *et al*. A computer fitness system for older adults: evaluating the effects of [M]Power[®] by Dakim. Poster session presented at 37th Annual Meeting of the International Neuropsychological Society, 2009, Atlanta, GA.
46. Small, GW, Moody TD, Siddarth P, Bookheimer SY. Your brain on Google: patterns of cerebral activation during Internet searching. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2009; **17**(2): 116–26.
47. Stigsdotter-Neely A. Multifactorial memory training in normal aging: in search of memory improvement beyond the ordinary. In Hill RD, Backman L, Stigsdotter-Neely A (eds), *Cognitive Rehabilitation in Old Age*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2000, 63–80.
48. West RL, Bagwell DK, Dark-Freudeman A. Self-efficacy and memory aging; the impact of a memory intervention based on self efficacy. *Neuropsychol Dev Cogn B Aging Neuropsychol Cogn* 2008; **15**(3): 302–29.
49. Kramer AF, Bherer L, Colcombe SJ, Dong W, Greenough WT. Environmental influences on cognitive and brain plasticity during aging. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2004; **59**: 940–57.
50. Small GW, Silverman DHS, Siddarth P *et al*. Effects of a 14-day healthy longevity lifestyle program on cognitive and brain function. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2006; **14**(6): 538–45.
51. Small GW, Vorgan G. *The Memory Prescription*. New York: Hyperion, 2004.
52. Miller KJ, Gaines JM, Parrish JM *et al*. The memory fitness study: effects of a healthy aging intervention program on older adults. Poster session presented at 12th Annual UCLA Research Conference on Aging, 2007, Los Angeles.
53. Miller KJ, Siddarth P, Gaines J *et al*. The memory fitness study: healthy lifestyle choices improve memory. Poster session presented at 36th Annual Meeting of the International Neuropsychological Society, 2008, Big Island, HI.
54. Petersen RC. Mild cognitive impairment as a diagnostic entity. *J Intern Med* 2004; **256**: 183–94.
55. Kurz A, Pohl C, Ramsenthaler M, Sorg C. Cognitive rehabilitation in patients with mild cognitive impairment. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2009; **24**: 163–8.
56. Talassi E, Guerreschi M, Feriani M *et al*. Effectiveness of a cognitive rehabilitation program in mild dementia (MD) and mild cognitive impairment (MCI): a case control study. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2007; **44**(Suppl 1): 391–9.
57. Requena C, Maestu F, Campo P, Fernandez A, Ortiz T. Effects of cholinergic drugs and cognitive training on dementia: 2-year follow-up. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* 2006; **22**: 339–45.
58. Olazaran J, Muniz R, Reisberg B *et al*. Benefits of cognitive-motor intervention in MCI and mild to moderate Alzheimer disease. *Neurology* 2004; **63**: 2348–53.
59. Brooks JO, Friedman L, Pearson AM, Gray C, Yesavage JA. Mnemonic training in older adults: effects of age, length of training, and type of cognitive pretraining. *Int Psychogeriatr* 1999; **11**(1): 75–84.
60. O'Hara R, Brooks JO 3rd, Friedman L *et al*. Long-term effects of mnemonic training in community-dwelling older adults. *J Psychiatr Res* 2007; **41**(7): 585–90.

61. Bottiroli S, Cavallini E, Vecchi T. Long-term effects of memory training in the elderly: a longitudinal study. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2008; **47**: 277–89.
62. Willis SL, Tennstedt SL, Marsiske M *et al*. Long-term effects of cognitive training on everyday functional outcomes in older adults. *JAMA* 2006; **296**: 2805–14.
63. Ball K, Berch DB, Helmers KF *et al*. Effects of cognitive training interventions with older adults: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2002; **288**: 2271–81.
64. Carlson MC, Saczynski JS, Rebok GW *et al*. Exploring the effects of an “everyday” activity program on executive function and memory in older adults: Experience Corps. *Gerontologist* 2008; **48**(6): 793–801.
65. Stine-Morrow EAL, Parisi JM, Morrow DG, Park DC. The effects of an engaged lifestyle on cognitive vitality: a field experiment. *Psychol Aging* 2008; **23**(4): 778–86.
66. Wilson RS, Medes de Leon CF, Barnes LL *et al*. Participation in cognitively stimulating activities and risk of incident Alzheimer disease. *JAMA* 2002; **287**(6): 742–8.
67. Nyberg L, Sandblom J, Jones S *et al*. Neural correlates of training-related memory improvement in adulthood and aging. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2003; **100**(23): 13728–33.
68. Kondo Y, Suzuki M, Mugikura S *et al*. Changes in brain activation associated with use of a memory strategy: a functional MRI study. *Neuroimage* 2005; **24**(4): 1154–63.
69. Valenzuela MJ, Jones M, Wen W *et al*. Memory training alters hippocampal neurochemistry in healthy elderly. *Neuroreport* 2003; **14**(10): 1333–7.