Review

COMPLICATED GRIEF AND RELATED BEREAVEMENT ISSUES FOR DSM-5

M. Katherine Shear, M.D., ^{1,2*} Naomi Simon, M.D., ³ Melanie Wall, Ph.D., ^{4,5} Sidney Zisook, M.D., ⁶ Robert Neimeyer, M.D., ⁷ Naihua Duan, Ph.D., ^{4,5} Charles Reynolds, M.D., ^{8,9} Barry Lebowitz, Ph.D., ¹⁰ Sharon Sung, Ph.D., ¹¹ Angela Ghesquiere, M.S.W., ¹² Bonnie Gorscak, Ph.D., ¹³ Paula Clayton, M.D., ¹⁴ Masaya Ito, Ph.D., ¹⁵ Satomi Nakajima, M.D. Ph.D., ¹⁵ Takako Konishi, M.D. Ph.D., ¹⁶ Nadine Melhem, Ph.D., ¹⁷ Kathleen Meert, M.D., ¹⁸ Miriam Schiff, Ph.D., ¹⁹ Mary-Frances O'Connor, Ph.D., ²⁰ Michael First, M.D., ²¹ Jitender Sareen, M.D., ²² James Bolton, M.D., ²² Natalia Skritskaya, Ph.D., ²³ Anthony D. Mancini, Ph.D., ²⁴ and Aparna Keshaviah, Sc.M. ²⁵

Bereavement is a severe stressor that typically incites painful and debilitating symptoms of acute grief that commonly progresses to restoration of a satisfactory, if changed, life. Normally, grief does not need clinical intervention. However, sometimes acute grief can gain a footbold and become a chronic debilitating

¹Columbia University School of Social Work, New York, New York ²Department of Psychiatry, Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons, New York, New York

³Center for Anxiety and Traumatic Stress Disorders and Complicated Grief Program, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts

⁴Departments of Biostatistics and Psychiatry at Columbia University, New York, New York

⁵Division of Biostatistics and Data Coordination at New York State Psychiatric Institute, New York, New York

⁶Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Diego and Veterans Affairs San Diego Health Care System, La Jolla, California

⁷Department of Psychology, University of Memphis, Memphis, Tennessee

⁸Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

⁹Department of Community and Behavioral Health Science, University of Pittsburgh Graduate School of Public Health, Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania ¹⁰Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California

¹¹Center for Anxiety and Traumatic Stress Disorders and Complicated Grief Program, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts

¹²Columbia University School of Social Work, New York, New York

¹³Private practice, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

¹⁴American Foundation for Suicide Prevention, New York, New York

¹⁵Department of Adult Mental Health, National Institute of Mental Health, National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry, Tokyo, Japan

¹⁶Department of Human Studies, Musashino University, Tokyko, Japan

¹⁷University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

¹⁸Department of Pediatrics, Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit, Michigan

¹⁹Paul Baerwald School of Social Work, Hebrew University, Mount Scopus, Jerusalem, Israel

²⁰Cousins Center for Psychoneuroimmunology, Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior, University of California, Los Angeles, California

²¹Department of Psychiatry, New York State Psychiatric Institute, Columbia University, New York, New York

²²University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

²³School of Social Work, Columbia University, New York, New York

²⁴Department of Psychology, Pace University, Pleasantville, New York

²⁵Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts

The authors disclose the following financial relationships within the past 3 years: Contract grant sponsor: National Institute of Mental Health; Contract grant numbers: R01MH070741; R01MH060783; R01MH085308; R01MH085288; R01MH085297; R25MH084786; P30MH071944; Contract grant sponsors: National Institutes of Health Loan Repayment Program; American Foundation of Suicide Prevention; UPMC Endowment in Geriatric Psychiatry; Canadian Institutes of Health; Contract grant numbers: 102682; 152348; Contract grant sponsor: Manitoba Health Research Council Chair Award; Contract grant number: 200730011B; Contract grant sponsors: Japanese Scientific Research Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare; Japanese Research Foundation for Safe Society.

*Correspondence to: M. Katherine Shear, Columbia University School of Social Work, 1255 Amsterdam Avenue, New York, NY 10027. E-mail: ks2394@columbia.edu

Received for publication 4 June 2010; Revised 11 November 2010; Accepted 21 November 2010

DOI 10.1002/da.20780

Published online in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com).

condition called complicated grief. Moreover, the stress caused by bereavement, like other stressors, can increase the likelihood of onset or worsening of other physical or mental disorders. Hence, some bereaved people need to be diagnosed and treated. A clinician evaluating a bereaved person is at risk for both over-and under-diagnosis, either pathologizing a normal condition or neglecting to treat an impairing disorder. The authors of DSM IV focused primarily on the problem of over-diagnosis, and omitted complicated grief because of insufficient evidence. We revisit bereavement considerations in light of new research findings. This article focuses primarily on a discussion of possible inclusion of a new diagnosis and dimensional assessment of complicated grief. We also discuss modifications in the bereavement V code and refinement of bereavement exclusions in major depression and other disorders. Depression and Anxiety 28:103–117, 2011.

Key words: complicated grief; DSM-5; diagnostic criteria; dimensional assessment; stress response disorder

INTRODUCTION

his article considers whether complicated grief (CG) meets the criteria for a mental disorder for which treatment is appropriate. Acute grief is a normal response to loss with symptoms that should not be pathologized. Psychiatrists have long understood that grief should not be treated as pathological. Nearly a century ago, Freud wrote, "...although mourning involves grave departures from the normal attitude toward life, it never occurs to us to regard it as a pathological condition and to refer it to a medical treatment. We rely on its being overcome after a certain lapse of time, and we look upon any interference with it as useless or even harmful"[1] (p 243). Research has proved Freud largely correct, [2-4] but not entirely. It is now clear that grief can be complicated, much as wound healing can be complicated, such that intensity of symptoms is heightened and their duration prolonged.

Although refraining from unwarranted diagnosis is important in evaluating a bereaved person, the need for treatment must also be considered. Bereavement is a severe stressor that can trigger the onset of a physical or mental disorder. Clinicians need to recognize and treat those disorders when present and a cause of significant morbidity or mortality. Untreated illness potentially interferes with natural healing and this is one pathway to the development of complicated grief. For example, major depression, post-traumatic stress disorder, and sleep disorders often occur and need treatment.

DSM-5 needs to provide guidance regarding how to recognize normal grief and when to diagnose complicated grief or another mental disorder. This article reviews research that informs these decisions. The main focus is on whether complicated grief should be included as a new diagnostic category and as a crosscutting dimensional assessment. In addition, we briefly discuss bereavement considerations in other disorders and suggest that the Bereavement V code might be used to provide information about normal grief.

SEARCH METHODS

A literature search was conducted using keywords: grief, bereavement, complicated grief, prolonged grief, traumatic grief, and unresolved grief in PubMed and PsycINFO databases. There was no time limit for published articles. The search included several edited books on bereavement and grief. We further scrutinized references of published papers and chapters. We examined the DSM III, III-R, IV, and IV-TR and related documents describing bereavement exclusions. We include some data analyses from a study that is submitted as a companion manuscript.

DOES CG MEET CRITERIA PROPOSED DSM 5 CRITERIA FOR A NEW DISORDER?

A number of studies suggest that most people experience acute grief symptoms that attenuate naturally over a period of time. [4–8] The intensity of acute grief and the period of time over which it occurs are variable, depending on the closeness of the relationship to the deceased and circumstances of the loss (e.g. the age of the deceased, degree of prior decline and anticipation of the death, the comfort and peacefulness of the person's last days and final moments, etc). Still, studies show that for most people grief intensity is fairly low by a period of about 6 months. This does not imply that grief is completed or resolved, but rather than it has become better integrated, and no longer stands in the way of ongoing life.

It is also clear that there is a subgroup of individuals whose grief symptoms are more intense and persistent.^[5–9] Horowitz et al.^[10–12] suggested that a syndrome of CG be included in the DSM. They led an initiative, supported by others^[13,14] to include CG as a stress response syndrome in DSM IV; however, it

was ultimately determined that the evidence was insufficient to warrant its inclusion. Horowitz obtained additional evidence for a CG diagnosis and proposed a criteria set.^[15] Since that time evidence supporting the existence of a complicated grief syndrome continues to grow with studies using valid, reliable ratings scales, most commonly a 19-item rating scale called the Inventory of Complicated Grief (ICG)[16,17] or one of a number of variants of this scale[18,19] or the Core Bereavement Items. [20-22] In agreement with others, [16] we believe it is appropriate to again raise the issue of including CG as a new category in DSM-5. Stein et al. proposed a list of 10 criteria for the definition of mental disorder building on the definition used in DSM IV.[23] We next consider CG with respect to each of these 10 criteria.

A BEHAVIORAL OR PSYCHOLOGICAL SYNDROME THAT OCCURS IN AN INDIVIDUAL

CG is a recognizable syndrome that can be reliably identified with several rating scales, including those mentioned above. The concept is that complications derail or impede healing after loss and lead to a period of prolonged and intensified acute grief. The latter include symptoms of strong yearning for the person who died, frequent thoughts or images of the deceased person, feelings of intense loneliness or emptiness and a feeling that life without this person has no purpose or meaning. Complications also lead to dysfunctional thoughts, maladaptive behaviors, and emotion dysregulation, such as troubling ruminations about circumstances or consequences of the death, persistent feelings of shock, disbelief or anger about the death, feelings of estrangement from other people, and changes in behavior focused on excessive avoidance of reminders of the loss or the opposite, excessive proximity seeking to try to feel closer to the deceased, sometimes focused on wishes to die or suicidal behavior.

Consequences are clinically significant distress or disability. CG is associated with clinically significant distress and impairment including impairment in work and social functioning, [24–37] sleep disturbance, [38–41] disruption in daily activities, [42] suicidal thinking and behavior, [43–47] increased use of tobacco and alcohol, [16,41] and impairment in relationship functioning. [41] CG is also likely to affect the course of other disorders. For example, among people with bipolar disorder, the occurrence of CG is associated with more panic disorder, greater suicidality, [31] and greater sleep disturbance. [38]

NOT MERELY AN EXPECTABLE RESPONSE TO A COMMON STRESSOR

Acute grief is the expectable response to loss of someone very close. CG is an aberrant response that occurs in a minority of people following the loss of a

loved one. Studies suggest that CG occurs in about 10% of bereaved people overall, [16,22] with higher rates among individuals bereaved by disaster[36,48,49] or violent death[50-54] and higher among parents who lose children.^[55–57] This syndrome leads to considerable functional impairment, beyond that accounted for by any comorbid depression, PTSD, and other anxiety disorders. [26,27,30,32,37] CG has been documented in bereaved relatives of ICU patients, [58,59] terminal cancer patients^[60] and palliative care populations,^[61] people bereaved by disaster,[19,36,48,62] parents bereaved of children, [55,56,63] bereaved people with intellectual disabilities, [64] bereaved psychiatric outpatients, [65,66] bipolar disorder patients, [31] suicide and homicide survivors, [43,53,67] and in bereaved children and adolescents.[33,68] CG is seen across cultures within the United States[34,69] and in other countries in Western Europe, [18,70-75], as well as in Iran, [49] Bosnia, [21] Kosovo, [76] Pakistan, [77] Turkey, [78] Rwanda, [79] China. [60,80] and Japan. [81-83]

REFLECTS AN UNDERLYING PSYCHOBIOLOGICAL DYSFUNCTION

CG entails harmful dysfunction in that a normal healing process has been derailed. People with CG have complicating symptoms or disorders that change the expected response to bereavement. Many ruminate over various concerns related to the death, [41,84] cannot make sense of the loss, [51,55] catastrophically misinterpret aspects of the loss, [84-86] including their own reactions^[85] and avoid reminders of the loss.^[32] As a consequence, acute grief symptoms are inordinately prolonged. Compared to normal grief, CG is associated with prolonged distress and disability, negative health outcomes and suicidality. Risk factors include female sex,[36,48] a history of mood disorder,[30,87] low perceived social support, [88] insecure attachment style, [89–93] increased stress, [88] positive caregiving experience with the deceased, [60,61,94,95] cognitions during bereavement, [85,96] pessimistic temperament and personality correlates, [97,98] and psychobiological findings reviewed above. Common occurrence of lifetime comorbidity of mood and anxiety disorders suggest common underlying vulnerability.

NOT SOLELY A RESULT OF SOCIAL DEVIANCE OR CONFLICTS WITH SOCIETY

Cultural and religious factors need to be taken into consideration when making a diagnosis of CG, as they can play a major role in determining the parameters of normal grief for a particular individual functioning within a particular cultural and religious context. Nevertheless, as cited above, available evidence suggests that CG occurs across cultures that have different views of death and loss and different bereavement rituals. Inclusion of CG in DSM-5 would stimulate research to further elucidate the role of cultural factors.

HAS DIAGNOSTIC VALIDATORS, E.G. PROGNOSIS, PSYCHOBIOLOGY, AND TREATMENT RESPONSE

There is evidence for diagnostic validity of CG. While more work is needed to understand the underlying biology of CG, there are a number of studies supporting psychobiological dysfunction. A brain imaging study showed the activation of the nucleus accumbens on exposure to cues of the deceased in complicated but not in normal grievers. [99] CG was associated with an MAO-A variant in patients with major depression. [100] Several studies showed deficits in specific autobiographical memory functions [101–103] and deficits in means-end problem solving among CG patients. [104] A study of heart rate response during discussion of a loss showed reduced heart rate correlated with CG severity in contrast to increased heart rate which correlated with PTSD. [37]

CG symptoms manifest a chronic persistent course and show little response to nortriptyline^[105] or bupropion^[106] in open pilot studies, and little response to nortriptyline or interpersonal psychotherapy in a randomized controlled trial.^[107] A report of four patients shows good response to serotonin-active medication^[108] and the first randomized controlled trial of serotonin-active antidepressants is underway by five of the co-authors of this article. There is growing evidence supporting the efficacy a CG-targeted psychotherapy.^[27,109,110]

CLINICAL UTILITY, E.G. BETTER ASSESSMENT, AND TREATMENT

In part due to omission of CG in DSM IV, CG appears to be rarely diagnosed in the community. In our treatment studies, some people with this condition report that they were told that their grief is normal. Others were misdiagnosed as having a primary major depressive episode or anxiety disorder. A person with CG may be treated with medication for depression that has been found to have insufficient effect on CG symptoms.[105] Many patients have been on treatmentseeking odysseys for years after the death of a loved one, receiving little help. Our data from 243 individuals seeking treatment for complicated grief in Pittsburgh reveals that 206 (85%) had previously sought treatment for grief. The majority had tried at least one medication and at least one form of counseling. Many had made multiple attempts to get help. Some had been told that they were coping as well as could be expected because the loss was very difficult. This type of reassurance was provided even when the bereaved person's life had come to a halt and years had passed since the death.

DIFFERENTIATION FROM NEAREST NEIGHBORS

Because new disorders should be created only when necessary, it is important to consider whether CG can be incorporated into an existing diagnosis, in particular major depression or PTSD. CG resembles depression in that both include symptoms such as sadness, crying, sleep disturbance, and suicidal thinking. Is CG best considered a form of major depression? One study found that everyone with CG met current criteria for MDD.^[79] However, most research, including clinical studies^[26,30,48,87] have found that only about 50–60% of CG samples meet depression criteria and important differences between CG and MDD exist.

Intense yearning or longing for the deceased is common in CG. There are strong feelings of wanting to be reunited with the lost loved one, associated with behaviors to feel close to the deceased, frequent intrusive or preoccupying thoughts of the deceased and efforts to avoid experiences that trigger reminders of the loss. Yearning in complicated grief appears to be associated with activation of dopamine circuitry. [99] By contrast, in major depression, there is a reduced capacity for the activation of reward pathways.[111-113] Additionally, guilt, when present in CG, is specific to the death, whereas with depression, guilt is usually pervasive and multifaceted.[114] Sleep disturbance is associated with REM sleep abnormalities in depression but not in CG.[40] Clinical experience suggests that suicidality in CG is commonly based on imagined reunion with the deceased person, whereas depressed suicidal people tend to report pervasive hopelessness. Factor analysis shows depression and CG load on separate factors.[18,115-117] CG symptoms show little response to interpersonal psychotherapy, a well-studied treatment for depression[107,109,110] and medication studies suggest that improvement in depression can occur with only modest changes in CG symptoms.[105,107] Overall, while symptoms can overlap, there is strong evidence that CG is distinct from major depression.

Could CG be included considered a form of chronic PTSD? Experiencing the death of a loved one is a life event that meets the trauma criterion of observing or learning of death. People with CG describe intrusive images of the deceased loved one, engage in avoidance behavior, and feel estranged from others. Many report sleep disturbance or difficulty concentrating. At least one study suggests that CG might be best conceptualized under the PTSD category. [118] However, confrontation with physical danger is fundamentally different from losing a sustaining relationship, and CG symptoms differ correspondingly from those of PTSD. Moreover, most people with CG do not meet criteria for PTSD.[30,33,37,48,87]

Physical trauma represents one or more events contained in space and time that threaten physical harm, increasing fear, and hypervigilance. With the exception of situations entailing recurrent exposure to danger (e.g. combat and domestic abuse), actual threat is markedly reduced after the event is over. An adaptive response requires relearning a sense of safety in order to ensure reasonably accurate ongoing evaluation of

threat. Bereavement entails permanent loss of something desirable and sustaining and initiates a pervasive and prolonged change in circumstances. Access to the deceased is gone forever. The bereaved person can no longer obtain assistance with difficulties or encouragement and support in facing challenging new endeavors. Loss of a loved one deprives a person of the ongoing sense of well-being derived from providing sensitive responsive caregiving. [119] An adaptive response entails understanding the finality and consequences of the loss and redefining life goals and plans in the absence of the loved one.

There are corresponding differences in symptoms of PTSD and CG. The hallmark of PTSD is fear. The hallmark of CG is sadness and yearning. CG and PTSD do share symptoms of disruptive intrusive thoughts and avoidance. However, people with PTSD re-experience thoughts and images of the traumatic event, whereas people with CG experience intrusive images and preoccupation with the deceased person. In PTSD, avoidance is used to prevent the recurrence of danger and in CG to avert painful thoughts or feelings related to the loss. Hyperarousal in CG is related to the loss of interpersonal regulators,[120-122] rather than hypervigilance to threat. CG differs from both depression and anxiety disorders using factor analyses and other indicators.[16,18,116,117,123] On balance it appears that CG is different from PTSD.

Another possibility would be to use the category of adjustment disorder to diagnose CG. A diagnosis of adjustment disorder is made when response to a stressor is unusually intense or prolonged. By definition, CG meets this description. However, adjustment disorder is reserved for a disparate group of symptoms that do not fit elsewhere. Since there are no specific symptom patterns included in the operationalized criteria for adjustment disorder, it is difficult to study. There is a risk that clinicians would not recognize that CG is a discrete syndrome recognizable across different cultures and different bereavement circumstances. An important reason for adding a CG diagnosis is to provide standard criteria for clinicians and researchers. It makes more sense for CG to be a new diagnostic category.

POTENTIAL BENEFITS OUTWEIGH POTENTIAL HARM

Potential harm from the diagnosis of CG is primarily related to labeling and stigma. It is important not to stigmatize or pathologize a normal human phenomenon, and this is an important consideration. Grief manifests differently in every person and categorizing symptoms can be difficult. However, CG is much more intense, persistent, and debilitating than usual grief and it occurs in a small minority of bereaved people. There is no question that mental disorders remain stigmatized. Despite this, in our experience, and according to Johnson et al.^[124] many people feel relief when their

problem is named and efficacious treatment is described. The potential benefit of creating a new diagnosis in order to identify individuals who require clinical attention appears to outweigh the potential harm as long as the diagnosis is applied appropriately.

DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA

Existing data provide strong support for CG as a new category in DSM5, yet most of this work uses a version of the ICG^[125] or a similar dimensional measure that does not directly inform which subset of symptoms and diagnostic threshold can best be used to define caseness. Principles guiding revisions in DSM5 as outlined on the website (http://www.dsm5.org/) include, "The highest priority is "clinical utility"—that is, making the manual useful to clinicians diagnosing and treating people with mental disorders". Following this mandate, criteria for CG should help clinicians to discriminate people with CG from those with and without other psychiatric disorders who do not have this condition. Criteria should also help to guide the choice of interventions and outcome assessment (Table 1).

A number of criteria sets have been proposed for CG.[11,15-17,126-129] The most recent of these is Prigerson et al.[16] The sample from which these criteria were derived is not a clinical one, was relatively small (n = 291), and included almost exclusively older (average age 62) white (95%) widows (84%), with 60% educated beyond high school and is not necessarily generalizable to people with CG who are younger, less educated, from diverse backgrounds and bereaved of other close friends or relatives. Therefore, it is only partially informative for deriving clinically useful criteria. Moreover, only 28 study participants were judged to have prolonged grief disorder (PGD, the term used by this group for CG). This small number greatly limits the ability to explore any potentially informative symptom patterns for determining diagnostic criteria. The methodology used to derive criteria was also problematic. Data used were from study subjects bereaved from 0 to 6 or from 6 to 12 months, yet the authors propose that PGD should not be diagnosed before 6 months, and others have judged it advisable to wait 1 year. It is questionable whether CG criteria should be derived using data from individuals considered ineligible for the diagnosis. Analyses began with a pre-selected list of 22 of the 39 ICG-R symptoms and no rationale is provided for which symptoms are excluded. Also of note, the ICG-R does not include several symptoms that have been associated with CG and that should be considered as possible criteria, e.g. rumination, physical reactions to the death, and suicidality. The final proposed criteria set was derived empirically using item response theory (IRT) followed by an exhaustive subset analysis. Yearning is proposed as a necessary symptom (Criterion B) but it is not clear how and why this decision was made.

TABLE 1. Normal and complicated grief

Common symptoms of acute grief that are within normal limits within the first 6–12 months after

- Recurrent, strong feelings of yearning, wanting very much to be reunited with the person who died; possibly even a wish to die in order to be with deceased loved one
- Pangs of deep sadness or remorse, episodes of crying or sobbing, typically interspersed with periods of respite and even positive emotions
- Steady stream of thoughts or images of deceased, may be vivid or even entail hallucinatory experiences of seeing or hearing deceased person
- Struggle to accept the reality of the death, wishing to protest against it; there may be some feelings of bitterness or anger about the death
- Somatic distress, e.g. uncontrollable sighing, digestive symptoms, loss of appetite, dry mouth, feelings of hollowness, sleep disturbance, fatigue, exhaustion or weakness, restlessness, aimless activity, difficulty initiating or maintaining organized activities, and altered sensorium
- Feeling disconnected from the world or other people, indifferent, not interested or irritable with others

Symptoms of integrated grief that are within normal limits

- Sense of having adjusted to the loss
- Interest and sense of purpose, ability to function, and capacity for joy and satisfaction are restored
- Feelings of emotional loneliness may persist
- Feelings of sadness and longing tend to be in the background but still present
- Thoughts and memories of the deceased person accessible and bittersweet but no longer dominate the mind
- Occasional hallucinatory experiences of the deceased may occur
- Surges of grief in response to calendar days or other periodic reminders of the loss may occur

Complicated grief

- Persistent intense symptoms of acute grief
- The presence of thoughts, feelings, or behaviors reflecting excessive or distracting concerns about the circumstances or consequences of the death

IRT modeling has become a commonly used strategy in assessment research but its validity is based on an initial assumption that the attribute being measured is best represented as a single factor. Such unidimensionality is usual in a group of people with a wide range of scores on an attribute, e.g. many who are asymptomatic as well as people exhibiting widely varying levels of symptoms. However, for the purpose of developing criteria, we are particularly interested in item performance among the subgroup of individuals at the high end of a trait, in this case CG. If multiple dimensions or factors emerge (i.e. certain subgroups of symptoms co-occur more commonly than others), unidimensional IRT (which assumes one single underlying dimension) is not be the best approach to understanding item performance. As we explain in a companion paper (submitted to Depression and Anxiety by Naomi Simon, et al.), factor analysis of the ICG in people with high scores shows multiple factors. Therefore, we believe further analyses are needed to refine our thinking about criteria that will optimally identify individuals with CG.

Briefly, we administered the ICG^[125] to a group of bereaved healthy controls with no psychiatric disorder (n = 95), as well as to patients diagnosed as having either a mood or anxiety disorder (n = 369), and to patients presenting for treatment of CG (n = 318). These samples were recruited under research protocols at Massachusetts General Hospital, and NIMH-funded studies at the University of Pittsburgh (MH060783) and Columbia University (MH070741), and are well characterized, including structured diagnostic assessment by certified experienced clinical raters. Most (304) of 318) of the participants who self-identified as having CG, had one or more clinical interviews for CG as well as a staffing review of the CG symptoms and other diagnoses. This sample of clinically diagnosed CG patients includes a wide age range, a range of types of loss and racial and ethnic diversity (white participants comprise 81% of the non-CG and 70% of CG groups). We have a high degree of confidence that those (n = 288) who scored at least 30 on the ICG and were diagnosed with CG on clinical interview are suffering from the condition we wish to define.

We conducted a factor analysis focused on these 288 study participants and found a clear six-factor solution: (1) Yearning and preoccupation with the deceased, (2) shock and disbelief, (3) anger and bitterness, (4) estrangement from others, (5) hallucinations of the deceased, and (6) behavior change, including avoidance and proximity seeking. We used the six-factor groupings in our criteria proposal. We maintain the division of CG symptoms into separation distress (Criterion B) and associated symptoms (Criterion C) because of Prigerson group's proposal, though a single list of symptoms could also be used. Our factor analysis results guided modifications of B and C criteria. Details of the factor analyses and related sensitivity and specificity analysis are provided in a companion paper (submitted to Depression and Anxiety by Naomi Simon, et al.).

We found no evidence that yearning is a unique symptom. Rather, it clusters with a group of four symptoms in factor 1 (separation distress). These symptoms are listed as Criterion B in our proposed criteria set (Table 2). Another modification is the addition of suicidal thinking and behavior. Given strong evidence reviewed above for an association of CG with suicidality, we believe that it needs to be included in the diagnostic criteria set. Clinical observation suggests that suicidal thinking and behavior in CG is a manifestation of separation distress and we include it in item B3.

Our factor analysis also guided proposed modifications to the set of symptoms included in Criteria C. Proposed criteria outlined in Table 2 group symptoms that clustered together in each of the remaining five factors. We also included in this proposal two items in Criterion C that were not assessed on the ICG. Item C1 assesses rumination about the circumstances or consequences of the death because there is data for

TABLE 2. Proposed criteria for complicated grief

- A. The person has been bereaved, i.e. experienced the death of a loved one, for at least 6 months
- B. At least one of the following symptoms of persistent intense acute grief has been present for a period longer than is expected by others in the person's social or cultural environment
 - 1. Persistent intense yearning or longing for the person who died
 - 2. Frequent intense feelings of loneliness or like life is empty or meaningless without the person who died
 - 3. Recurrent thoughts that it is unfair, meaningless, or unbearable to have to live when a loved one has died, or a recurrent urge to die in order to find or to join the deceased
 - 4. Frequent preoccupying thoughts about the person who died, e.g. thoughts or images of the person intrude on usual activities or interfere with functioning
- C. At least two of the following symptoms are present for at least a month:
 - 1. Frequent troubling rumination about circumstances or consequences of the death, e.g. concerns about how or why the person died, or about not being able to manage without their loved one, thoughts of having let the deceased person down, etc.
 - Recurrent feeling of disbelief or inability to accept the death, like the person cannot believe or accept that their loved one is really gone
 - 3. Persistent feeling of being shocked, stunned, dazed or emotionally numb since the death
 - 4. Recurrent feelings of anger or bitterness related to the death
 - 5. Persistent difficulty trusting or caring about other people or feeling intensely envious of others who have not experienced a similar loss
 - 6. Frequently experiencing pain or other symptoms that the deceased person had, or hearing the voice or seeing the deceased person
 - 7. Experiencing intense emotional or physiological reactivity to memories of the person who died or to reminders of the loss
 - 8. Change in behavior due to excessive avoidance or the opposite, excessive proximity seeking, e.g. refraining from going places, doing things, or having contact with things that are reminders of the loss, or feeling drawn to reminders of the person, such as wanting to see, touch, hear or smell things to feel close to the person who died. (Note: sometimes people experience both of these seemingly contradictory symptoms.)
- D. The duration of symptoms and impairment is at least 1 month
- E. The symptoms cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational or other important areas of functioning, where impairment is not better explained as a culturally appropriate response

importance of this symptom^[84,96,130] and we have observed this symptom frequently in our own work. Item C7 refers to physical and emotional activation on exposure to reminders. This symptom was proposed by Horowitz^[15] and has been found to be important in studies by Bonanno and Mancini (personal communication). Again, we have observed this symptom in our clinical work (Table 3).

We conducted sensitivity and specificity analyses to examine different configurations of symptoms in confirmed compared to non-CG cases. Confirmed CG cases were defined as individuals bereaved at least 6 months who were seeking care for CG, had an ICG >30, and received a structured clinical interview for

CG by a certified clinician confirming CG as their primary illness. Non-cases were bereaved individuals who did not present with CG as a primary complaint (including those with depression, bipolar disorder, anxiety disorders, and controls) and had an ICG<25. These analyses showed that yearning alone has a sensitivity of 88.5% so that 11.5% of individuals who we have judged to have CG would be excluded from the Prigerson et al. diagnosis. Our Criteria B increases sensitivity to 96.9% and decreases specificity very little. Other results of sensitivity and specificity analyses indicate that requiring symptoms from at least three different symptom clusters out of the six clusters identified by the factor analysis lead to 95% sensitivity and 98% specificity. Factor 1 is a cluster of separation distress items that correspond to current Criterion B in our proposed data. We are proposing to require one of the symptom clusters to be the cluster associated with factor 1. This does not alter the sensitivity and specificity of the criteria compared to having just one grouping of symptoms with a requirement of three symptoms endorsed.

We advocate specifying a timeframe of at least 1 month of symptoms and impairment (Criterion D) and specifying the need for impairment in functioning beyond what is expected in the culture (Criterion E). Prigerson et al.'s Criterion A specifies that the disorder is not diagnosed until at least 6 months after the death occurs but says nothing about the duration of symptoms. Sometimes grief symptoms surge for a few weeks if a person is under stress or during an anniversary period, and this may not indicate the presence of CG. Therefore, in addition to the time since the loss, we believe a minimum period of 1 month of CG symptoms should be required.

In summary, building on other criteria proposals, [15,16] other CG research, and new findings from our clinical samples, we propose a modified criteria set that we hope will move the field a step further. We note that the development of diagnostic criteria for other diagnoses have typically proceeded in a stepwise fashion. The criteria set in DSM-5 is, by definition, at a relatively early stage. Following the procedure previously used successfully and proposed as a model for the development of diagnostic criteria in all of clinical medicine [131] entails involvement of groups of credible experts who devise criteria based on existing research findings that are extensive in the case of CG. These criteria can continue to be tested in large epidemiological and clinical samples.

TERMINOLOGY

We favor the term complicated grief (CG). Several authors have suggested that bereavement is analogous to an injury and grief to inflammation associated with the healing process.^[132–134] Just as wound healing can be hindered by complications producing a prolonged period of inflammation and soreness, so can healing a

TABLE 3. Comparison of our criteria with Prigerson et al., 2009

Prigerson et al., 2009	Shear et al., 2010	Comments
A. Bereavement (loss of a significant other)	A. The person has been bereaved, i.e. experienced the death of a loved one, for at least 6 months	Constitute distance can be associated in
B. Separation distress: The bereaved person experiences yearning (e.g. craving, pining, or longing for the deceased; physical or emotional suffering as a result of the desired but unfulfilled reunion with the deceased) daily or to a disabling degree	B. At least one of the following symptoms of persistent intense acute grief has been present for a period longer than is expected by others in the person's social or cultural environment	Separation distress can be manifested in several different ways. A meaningful minority of people who meet criteria for CG by other methods do not endorse yearning
	Persistent intense yearning or longing for the person who died	
	2. Frequent intense feelings of loneliness or like life is empty or meaningless without the person who died	
	3. Recurrent thoughts that it is unfair, meaningless or unbearable to have to live when a loved one has died, or a recurrent urge to die in order to find or to join the deceased4. Frequent preoccupying thoughts about the person	Suicidal thinking and behaviors are elevated in CG and are important symptoms
	who died, e.g. thoughts or images of the person intrude on usual activities or interfere with functioning	
C. Cognitive, emotional, and behavioral symptoms: the bereaved person must have five or more of the following symptoms experienced daily or to a disabling degree:	C. At least two of the following symptoms are present for at least a month:	It is important that these symptoms are all related to the death
Confusion about one's role in life or diminished sense of self (i.e. feeling that a part of oneself has died)	1. Frequent troubling rumination about circumstances or consequences of the death, e.g. concerns about how or why the person died, or about not being able to manage without their loved one, thoughts of having let the deceased person down, etc.	Rumination is a clinically significant symptom of CG
2. Difficulty accepting the loss	2. Recurrent feeling of disbelief or inability to accept the death, like the person cannot believe or accept that their loved one is really gone	
3. Avoidance of reminders of the reality of the loss	 Persistent feeling of being shocked, stunned, dazed, or emotionally numb since the death 	
4. Inability to trust others since the loss	4. Recurrent feelings of anger or bitterness related to the death	People with CG are mistrustful because they feel that others do not understand them or are critical of them
5. Bitterness or anger related to the loss	 Persistent difficulty trusting or caring about other people or feeling intensely envious of others who have not experienced a similar loss 	
Difficulty moving on with life (e.g. making new friends, pursuing new interests)	6. Frequently experiencing pain or other symptoms that the deceased person had, or hearing the voice or seeing the deceased person	
7. Numbness (absence of emotion) since the loss	7. Experiencing intense emotional or physiological reactivity to memories of the person who died or to reminders of the loss	
8. Feeling that life is unfulfilling, empty or meaningless since the loss	8. Change in behavior due to excessive avoidance or the opposite, excessive proximity seeking, e.g. refraining from going places, doing things, or having contact with things that are reminders of the loss, or feeling drawn to reminders of the person, such as wanting to see, touch, hear or smell things to feel close to the person who died. (Note: sometimes people experience both of these seemingly contradictory symptoms.)	
9. Feeling stunned, dazed or shocked by the loss	9. Disturbing emotional or physiological reactivity to reminders of the loss	This item is strongly related to CG symptoms in Bonanno studies and is clinically meaningful

TABLE 3. Continued

Prigerson et al., 2009	Shear et al., 2010	Comments
D. Timing: Diagnosis should not be made until at least 6 months have elapsed since the death	D. The duration of symptoms and impairment is at least 1 month	Need minimum duration of symptoms. CG is not diagnosed until at least 6 months after the loss but some people have transient increases in symptoms after that time and should not be considered to have CG
E. Impairment: The disturbance causes clinically significant impairment in social, occupational or other important areas of functioning (e.g. domestic responsibilities)	E. The symptoms cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational or other important areas of functioning, where impairment is not better explained as a culturally appropriate response	We added distress, in line with other DSM diagnoses, and the possibility that impairment might be explained as a culturally appropriate response—e.g. when certain activities are not considered appropriate until a year has passed
F. Relation to other mental disorders: The disorder is not better accounted for by major depressive disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, or post-traumatic stress disorder		We favor omission of this criterion in line with thinking of other work groups about the difficulties of hierarchical diagnoses

loss be hampered by complications producing a prolonged period of acute grief. We believe that the problem with labeling this syndrome "prolonged" or "persistent" grief is that grief is often prolonged or persistent in ways that are not complicated or pathological and this could confuse people. Additionally, the existing literature primarily uses the term complicated (in Pubmed: 304 under this keyword v. 48 under prolonged; in Psycinfo: 408 v. 24.)

SHOULD COMPLICATED GRIEF SYMPTOMS BE ASSESSED DIMENSIONALLY ACROSS DISORDERS?

Bereavement is a universal experience and grief that occurs across all psychiatric diagnoses. It is likely that having a psychiatric diagnosis, especially a mood or anxiety disorder, is a risk factor for the development of complicated grief and that rates of CG are elevated among psychiatric outpatients.[66] CG has been described in bipolar disorder patients[31] and cardiac bypass patients (Ghesquiere et al., personal communication). Both data sets show evidence of effects of CG on treatment outcome. CG has been identified with a variety of other mental and physical disorders. CG is often under-recognized, under-treated, and is a cause for continuing distress and impairment. There is evidence that CG requires targeted treatment.[110] Additionally, it is possible that grief symptoms could interact with symptoms of other disorders, even when threshold level CG is not present. For these reasons, we advocate the use of a simple dimensional measure of CG as a screener to identify CG symptoms. This simple scale was developed for screening people who

utilized services after 9–11^[36] and has been used in the bypass study (HL7000) as well as several other studies (MH070741, MH060783, MH070547, and MH059395) and a large community sample in Japan^[83] all of which documented good psychometric properties. Appendix A provides this measure.

OTHER SUGGESTED REVISIONS FOR DSM IV

DSM IV stipulates that bereaved people should not be diagnosed with major depression unless the symptoms are "unduly severe or prolonged" (p 213), where "severe" is operationalized by a group of six symptoms listed under V62.82, and "prolonged" as more than 2 months after the death. These exclusion criteria are reasonable if the primary goal is to avoid misdiagnosing normal grief. However, Zisook et al. have challenged the wisdom of this exclusion.[135-142] Numerous studies from around the world confirm the observation that only about 20% of bereaved people, including children, [143-145] meet criteria for major depression and that depression in the context of bereavement has a course^[136-138] and treatment response^[106] similar to MDD in other contexts. Findings from at least three studies indicate that depression in the first 2 months after a death responds to medication. [106,146,147] Grief symptoms also improved, though not as much as the depression.

Leaving major depression untreated goes against current clinical guidelines that recommend early identification and treatment. Bereavement may increase the risk of suicide. [148,149] Earlier treatment to reduce suicide risk is likely the most effective long-term preventative intervention available, [150,151] as risk appears highest in the month before treatment, next

highest in the first month after treatment, and lower thereafter.^[152] Ignoring depression may be more, not less, inhumane and harmful during acute grief.1 DSM IV criteria for adjustment disorder also include a bereavement exclusion. Criterion D states "the symptoms do not represent bereavement," again likely meant to warn clinicians not to diagnose normal grief. However, there are no guidelines for identifying normal grief. It might be argued that CG is an adjustment disorder, but the bereavement exclusion and lack of criteria for grief maladjustment are important problems.

Finally, Bereavement (V62.82) appears in "Other Conditions That Can Be the Principal Focus of Clinical Attention" (pp 684-685). Acute grief can be highly distressing and disruptive [134,153-156] yet should not be considered an illness.[157] Clinicians might be called upon to support people experiencing acute grief. The bereavement V code can be put to good use if it includes a description of typical acute grief, and more information about when and why the bereavement code should be used. Clinicians could provide reassuring information about grief and support for the mourning process, incorporating awareness of cultural beliefs and mores.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Bereavement is a universal and severe stressor that regularly evokes a recognizable constellation of painful and debilitating grief symptoms. Most bereaved people are resilient and do not need mental health treatment. However, bereavement does not protect against the development or worsening of mental or physical disorders. On the contrary, as a stressor, bereavement increases risk of illness. Additionally, a subgroup with chronic severe grief has different clinical needs from most bereaved people, and others need treatment for a DSM disorder triggered by the stress of the loss. About 10% of bereaved people develop CG, a condition with a unique constellation of symptoms, unique risk factors and course of illness that requires a specific targeted treatment. We conclude that a new category of complicated grief is needed in DSM-5 and suggests that the management of bereaved people can be improved by this and other modifications in DSM-5.

APPENDIX A: BRIEF DIMENSIONAL CG ASSESSMENT

1. How much are you currently having	
accepting the death of	?
Not at all	0

¹We note that the co-authors Paula Clayton and Michael First are opposed to removing the bereavement exclusion from the diagnosis of major depression.

	Somewhat 1
,	How much does your grief interfere with your life
۷.	now?
	Not at all
	Somewhat 1
	A lot 2
3	How much are you bothered by images or thoughts
٠.	of when s/he died or other thoughts
	about the death that really bother you?
	Not at all
	Somewhat1
	A lot
1	Are there things you used to do when was
١.	alive that you don't feel comfortable doing anymore,
	that you avoid? Like going somewhere you went
	with him/her, or doing things you used to enjoy
	together? Or avoiding looking at pictures or talking
	about? How much are you avoiding these
	things?
	Not at all
	Somewhat
	A lot
5	How much are you feeling cut off or distant from
٠.	other people since died, even people you
	used to be close to like family or friends?
	Not at all
	Somewhat
	A lot
_	
SC	reen positive: total score > 4

APPENDIX B: VIGNETTES OF NORMAL AND COMPLICATED **GRIEF**

NORMAL GRIEF

Patricia lost her husband Paul to cancer at age 50. They were very close. Twenty years later she still describes his death as the hardest thing she ever went through. She has a vivid memory of the night Paul died. His aggressive cancer had emaciated his body. She sat at his bedside, tears streaming down her face, as he took his last breath. She was surprised at how strange she felt afterward. Even though she had known he was dying, it was hard to comprehend the fact that he was really gone. Patricia had lost her grandmother when she was 30 and they had also been close. She had been sad and missed her grandmother a lot. In fact, she had continued to miss her grandmother all her life. But this was very different. For the first month after Paul died, Patricia could think about little else. She felt intense feelings of yearning and longing for him, and had trouble concentrating on other things. She was grateful that her friends and family brought food and made sure someone was always with her. Their kind words and gentle encouragement were not really comforting, but it seemed important that they were there. Patricia felt

that her mind was in a fog and she had little control over her emotions or her thoughts. She knew she was not herself. She kept having a strange sensation that Paul would walk through the door. Once, she had awakened in the middle of the night to "see" him standing at the foot of the bed. He seemed to be saving something but she could not understand him. This unnerved her and she talked with her sister who suggested she see a grief counselor. The counselor was very helpful and explained that many people have this kind of experience. She helped Patricia understand that her symptoms were normal. Most people experience intense symptoms when they lose someone so close. After a few months, Patricia noticed that there were hours and then days when the fog lifted. She started to laugh again. She accepted an invitation to go out with friends even though she did not really want to, and she had a good time. A vision of her life without Paul began to emerge and the intensity of yearning for him subsided. The despair also diminished and she began to feel a different sense of connection to Paul. She continued to miss him a lot over the first few years after he died. There were periods during the second year that seemed even harder than the first, but she got through it and continued to feel increasingly engaged in her current life. Three years after Paul died friends introduced her to Jack, a widower who was very nice. She dated him for about 2 years. He got along with her children, and she liked his son. They decided to marry. She still thinks about Paul, especially at the anniversary of his death, which has remained a difficult time for her. She has learned to take time off from work and to plan some quiet way to honor her relationship with Paul. Jack understands this and is kind and supportive.

COMPLICATED GRIEF

Elaine was a 65-year-old woman who lost her husband Steve to cancer 19 years ago. Steve was the love of her life and Elaine was devastated by his death. She had been by his side throughout his illness. She hated thinking that he was going to die, but had thought she was prepared. She expected that she would grieve for a few weeks and the feelings would subside and she would cope. However, the night Steve died, Elaine had been exhausted and had fallen asleep in the hospital day room. She was awakened by a nurse who gently told her that Steve had passed. As it turned out, she was unprepared for the feelings of shock and disbelief that swept over her as she cried out "NO! NO! NO! Not yet! Not now!" She was caught off guard by the onslaught of symptoms that began immediately and were unremitting. There was a sense of confusion and powerful feelings of protest and despair. She experienced a deep yearning and longing for Steve, and waves of anxiety about how she would manage without him. In the weeks and months that followed, she found respite from painful feelings only by entering a state of foggy numbness that felt like a veil separating her from the rest of the world, or by daydreaming about her life with Steve. She felt strangely disconnected from her friends and even from her children. It was hard to think about anything other than Steve, as she reviewed in her mind his many talents and admirable traits and the unfairness of his illness and death. She could not remember ever feeling so helpless. It seemed that she did not know what to say to other people and felt barely capable of shopping in a grocery store or completing the simplest chore. She soon began trying to avoid reminders that would trigger intense emotions or physical symptoms. She ruminated on the tragedy of Steve's premature death and puzzled over why others did not seem devastated by the loss of this wonderful man. Her life had never felt so out of control.

Elaine was the only child of parents who were rigid and cold. She knew they loved her but felt that she could never please them and she could not trust them to understand or soothe her. They fought with each other and were harsh and demanding of her. She said she had raised herself and had not considered herself an appealing person. As an adolescent, she had felt awkward and unattractive, especially on dates. She had a boyfriend in college but they broke up after graduation. After that, she focused on her work where, unlike dating, she felt socially at ease. She worked as a special events planner, a job that suited her meticulous personality and general enjoyment of social activities. Clients and co-workers admired her and she loved her work. She met Steve when she was in her early 30s. He was an educator, with a reserved demeanor and an intellectual approach to life. Steve worked as the Head of small elite secondary school, where he was beloved as an administrator and admired as a scholar. Elaine was drawn to his kindness and quiet contemplative nature and impressed by the breadth and depth of his knowledge. Steve was attracted to Elaine's combination of shyness and warmth. He admired her organizational skills and her quick wit. They learned that they had a lot of shared values and life goals. They recognized each other as soul mates and were married within 6 months of meeting. Elaine never expected to find someone like Steve, and she loved him with all her heart. They had 2 children and an active family life. They were very happy until shortly after their 25th wedding anniversary when he developed a virulent cancer. She was devastated and took a leave of absence from her job to be with Steve as much as possible. During what turned out to be a 7-month illness she watched him "disappear before my eyes". After he died, she was plagued by the thought that she should have done more. She never forgave herself for falling asleep in another room on the night that he died. She should have been with him. She should have made sure he never got cancer. She should have done more to help him when he was ill. She could not live without him.

Elaine decided that she had not realized that she was so dependent on Steve and began to think their

relationship was "not healthy". She frequently thought it would have been better for her to have died instead of him. She often considered suicide but was stopped by the thought that she might not ever be reunited with her beloved husband. Caught up in thoughts of Steve as an extraordinary person and herself as pathetic and weak, she began to feel hopeless and depressed. Her intense grief continued unremitting. Eventually, her friends and family lost patience with her. Her closest friend told her that she needed to stop wallowing in her grief and move on. If Elaine could not do this, she should get help. Elaine consulted Dr. M, a psychiatrist, and found him kind and supportive. He told her that she was depressed and prescribed medication that was somewhat helpful. He sent her to a grief counselor whom she saw for about a year. Elaine liked the counselor, but her symptoms did not remit and eventually she stopped going. Dr. M tried to talk with her about her idealization of her husband and suggested that she must be angry at Steve for leaving her. These efforts fell on deaf ears, and there was little change over the years. Elaine's life consisted of weekly visits to Dr. M, the only place she could talk about Steve and feel some comfort. She changed jobs and did only what was needed to make ends meet. In the evenings, she stayed home. When she tried to venture elsewhere, she was assaulted by reminders Steve's loss. "I was convinced that all I needed was to have Steve back and Dr. M could not do that". She said when she presented for treatment of complicated grief, "but at least he let me to talk about Steve. He was my lifeline". Elaine found information on a website that described complicated grief and thought, maybe someone finally understood. She said, "I saw immediately that this was my problem".

REFERENCES

- Freud S. Mourning and Melancholia. In: Strachey J, editor & Trans. The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works of Sigmund Freud. Vol. 14 1917. Reprint, London: Hogarth Press; 1957.
- Bonanno GA, Moskowitz JT, Papa A, Folkman S. Resilience to loss in bereaved spouses, bereaved parents, and bereaved gay men. J Pers Soc Psychol 2005;88:827–843.
- Bonanno GA, Wortman CB, Nesse RM. Prospective patterns of resilience and maladjustment during widowhood. Psychol Aging 2004;19:260–271.
- Bonanno GA, Wortman CB, Lehman DR, et al. Resilience to loss and chronic grief: a prospective study from preloss to 18-months postloss. J Pers Soc Psychol 2002;83:1150–1164.
- DeVaul RA, Zisook S. Psychiatry: unresolved grief. Clinical considerations. J Postgrad Med 1976;59:267–271.
- DeVaul RA, Zisook S, Faschingbauer TR. Clinical aspects of grief and bereavement. Prim Care 1979;6:391–402.
- Zisook S, Shuchter S, Schuckit M. Factors in the persistence of unresolved grief among psychiatric outpatients. Psychosomatics 1985;26:497–503.
- 8. Dopson CC, Harper MB. Unresolved grief in the family. Am Fam Physician 1983;27:207–211.

- Parkes CM. Bereavement and mental illness. 1. A clinical study of the grief of bereaved psychiatric patients. Br J Med Psychol 1965;38:1–12.
- Horowitz MJ, Wilner N, Marmar C, Krupnick J. Pathological grief and the activation of latent self-images. Am J Psychiatry 1980;137:1152–1157.
- 11. Horowitz M, Stinson C, Fridhandler B, et al. Pathological grief: an intensive case study. Psychiatry 1993;56:356–374.
- Horowitz MJ, Bonanno GA, Holen A. Pathological grief: diagnosis and explanation. Psychosom Med 1993;55:260–273.
- Marwit SJ. Dsm-iii-r, grief reactions, and a call for revision. Prof Psychol Res Pract 1991;22:75–79.
- Hartz GW. Adult grief and its interface with mood disorder: proposal of a new diagnosis of complicated bereavement. Compr Psychiatry 1986;27:60–64.
- Horowitz MJ, Siegel B, Holen A, et al. Diagnostic criteria for complicated grief disorder. Am J Psychiatry 1997;154:904–910.
- Prigerson HG, Horowitz MJ, Jacobs SC, et al. Prolonged grief disorder: psychometric validation of criteria proposed for dsm-v and icd-11. PLoS Med 2009;6:e1000121.
- Prigerson HG, Jacobs SC. Diagnostic Criteria for Traumatic Grief: A Rationale, Consensus Criteria, and Preliminary Empirical Test. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association Press; 2001.
- Boelen PA, van den Bout J. Complicated grief, depression, and anxiety as distinct postloss syndromes: a confirmatory factor analysis study. Am J Psychiatry 2005;162:2175–2177.
- Johannesson KB, Lundin T, Hultman CM, et al. The effect of traumatic bereavement on tsunami-exposed survivors. J Trauma Stress 2009;22:497–504.
- Burnett P, Middleton W, Raphael B, Martinek N. Measuring core bereavement phenomena. Psychol Med 1997;27:49–57.
- Momartin S, Silove D, Manicavasagar V, Steel Z. Complicated grief in bosnian refugees: associations with posttraumatic stress disorder and depression. Compr Psychiatry 2004;45:475–482.
- Middleton W, Raphael B, Burnett P, Martinek N. A longitudinal study comparing bereavement phenomena in recently bereaved spouses, adult children and parents. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 1998;32:235–241.
- Stein DJ, Phillips KA, Bolton D, et al. What is a mental/ psychiatric disorder? From DSM-IV to DSM-V. Psychol Med 2010:1–7.
- Prigerson HG, Bierhals AJ, Kasl SV, et al. Traumatic grief as a risk factor for mental and physical morbidity. Am J Psychiatry 1997;154:616–623.
- Chen JH, Bierhals AJ, Prigerson HG, et al. Gender differences in the effects of bereavement-related psychological distress in health outcomes. Psychol Med 1999;29:367–380.
- Silverman GK, Jacobs SC, Kasl SV, et al. Quality of life impairments associated with diagnostic criteria for traumatic grief. Psychol Med 2000;30:857–862.
- Boelen PA, Prigerson HG. The influence of symptoms of prolonged grief disorder, depression, and anxiety on quality of life among bereaved adults: a prospective study. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2007;257:444

 –452.
- Ott CH, Lueger RJ, Kelber ST, Prigerson HG. Spousal bereavement in older adults: common, resilient, and chronic grief with defining characteristics. J Nerv Ment Dis 2007;195: 332–341
- 29. Lannen PK, Wolfe J, Prigerson HG, et al. Unresolved grief in a national sample of bereaved parents: impaired mental and physical health 4 to 9 years later. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:5870–5876.
- Simon NM, Shear KM, Thompson EH, et al. The prevalence and correlates of psychiatric comorbidity in individuals with complicated grief. Compr Psychiatry 2007;48:395–399.

- 31. Simon NM, Pollack MH, Fischmann D, et al. Complicated grief and its correlates in patients with bipolar disorder. J Clin Psychiatry 2005;66:1105–1110.
- 32. Shear K, Monk T, Houck P, et al. An attachment-based model of complicated grief including the role of avoidance. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2007;257: 453–461.
- Melhem NM, Moritz G, Walker M, et al. Phenomenology and correlates of complicated grief in children and adolescents. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2007;46:493

 –499.
- Cruz M, Scott J, Houck P, et al. Clinical presentation and treatment outcome of african americans with complicated grief. Psychiatr Serv 2007;58:700–702.
- 35. Zuckoff A, Shear K, Frank E, et al. Treating complicated grief and substance use disorders: a pilot study. J Subst Abuse Treat 2006;30:205–211.
- Shear MK, Jackson CT, Essock SM, et al. Screening for complicated grief among project liberty service recipients 18 months after september 11, 2001. Psychiatr Serv 2006;57: 1291–1297.
- Bonanno GA, Neria Y, Mancini A, et al. Is there more to complicated grief than depression and posttraumatic stress disorder? A test of incremental validity. J Abnorm Psychol 2007; 116:342–351.
- 38. Maytal G, Zalta AK, Thompson E, et al. Complicated grief and impaired sleep in patients with bipolar disorder. Bipolar Disord 2007;9:913–917.
- Germain A, Caroff K, Buysse DJ, Shear MK. Sleep quality in complicated grief. J Trauma Stress 2005;18:343–346.
- McDermott OD, Prigerson HG, Reynolds III CF, et al. Sleep in the wake of complicated grief symptoms: an exploratory study. Biol Psychiatry 1997;41:710–716.
- 41. Hardison HG, Neimeyer RA, Lichstein KL. Insomnia and complicated grief symptoms in bereaved college students. Behav Sleep Med 2005;3:99–111.
- 42. Monk TH, Houck PR, Shear MK. The daily life of complicated grief patients—what gets missed, what gets added? Death Stud 2006;30:77–85.
- Mitchell AM, Kim Y, Prigerson HG, Mortimer MK. Complicated grief and suicidal ideation in adult survivors of suicide. Suicide Life Threat Behav 2005;35:498–506.
- 44. Szanto K, Prigerson H, Houck P, et al. Suicidal ideation in elderly bereaved: the role of complicated grief. Suicide Life Threat Behav 1997;27:194–207.
- Prigerson HG, Bridge J, Maciejewski PK, et al. Influence of traumatic grief on suicidal ideation among young adults. Am J Psychiatry 1999;156:1994–1995.
- Latham AE, Prigerson HG. Suicidality and bereavement: complicated grief as psychiatric disorder presenting greatest risk for suicidality. Suicide Life Threat Behav 2004;34:350–362.
- Szanto K, Shear MK, Houck PR, et al. Indirect self-destructive behavior and overt suicidality in patients with complicated grief. J Clin Psychiatry 2006;67:233–239.
- 48. Neria Y, Gross R, Litz B, et al. Prevalence and psychological correlates of complicated grief among bereaved adults 2.5–3.5 years after september 11th attacks. J Trauma Stress 2007;20: 251–262.
- Ghaffari-Nejad A, Ahmadi-Mousavi M, Gandomkar M, Reihani-Kermani H. The prevalence of complicated grief among bam earthquake survivors in iran. Arch Iran Med 2007;10:525–528.
- Mancini A, Prati G, Black S. Self-worth mediates the effects of violent loss on ptsd symptoms. J Trauma Stress 2010, in press.
- Currier JM, Holland JM, Neimeyer RA. Sense-making, grief, and the experience of violent loss: toward a mediational model. Death Stud 2006;30:403–428.

- Mitchell AM, Kim Y, Prigerson HG, Mortimer-Stephens M. Complicated grief in survivors of suicide. Crisis 2004;25:12–18.
- Vessier-Batchen M, Douglas D. Coping and complicated grief in survivors of homicide and suicide decedents. J Forensic Nurs 2006;2:25–32.
- Melhem NM, Day N, Shear MK, et al. Traumatic grief among adolescents exposed to a peer's suicide. Am J Psychiatry 2004; 161:1411–1416
- Keesee NJ, Currier JM, Neimeyer RA. Predictors of grief following the death of one's child: the contribution of finding meaning. J Clin Psychol 2008;64:1145–1163.
- Meert KL, Thurston CS, Thomas R. Parental coping and bereavement outcome after the death of a child in the pediatric intensive care unit. Pediatr Crit Care Med 2001;2:324–328.
- 57. Murphy SA. The loss of a child: sudden death and extended illness perspectives. In: Stroebe M, Hansson RO, Schut H, Stroebe W, editors. Handbook of Bereavement Research and Practice: Advances in Theory and Intervention. Washington, DC: American Psyhcological Association; 2008.
- Anderson WG, Arnold RM, Angus DC, Bryce CL. Posttraumatic stress and complicated grief in family members of patients in the intensive care unit. J Gen Intern Med 2008;23: 1871–1876.
- Siegel MD, Hayes E, Vanderwerker LC, et al. Psychiatric illness in the next of kin of patients who die in the intensive care unit. Crit Care Med 2008;36:1722–1728.
- Chiu YW, Huang CT, Yin SM, Huang YC, Chien CH, Chuang HY. Determinants of complicated grief in caregivers who cared for terminal cancer patients. Support Care Cancer 2010;18:1321–1327.
- Tomarken A, Holland J, Schachter S, et al. Factors of complicated grief pre-death in caregivers of cancer patients. Psychooncology 2008;17:105–111.
- Pfefferbaum B, Call JA, Lensgraf SJ, et al. Traumatic grief in a convenience sample of victims seeking support services after a terrorist incident. Ann Clin Psychiatry 2001;13:19–24.
- 63. Wijngaards-de Meij L, Stroebe M, Schut H, et al. Patterns of attachment and parents' adjustment to the death of their child. Pers Soc Psychol Bull 2007;33:537–548.
- Dodd P, Guerin S, McEvoy J, et al. A study of complicated grief symptoms in people with intellectual disabilities. J Intellect Disabil Res 2008;52:415–425.
- 65. Ogrodniczuk JS, Piper WE. Recognizing complicated grief in clinical practice. Can J Psychiatry 2003;48:713.
- Ogrodniczuk JS, Piper WE, Joyce AS, et al. Differentiating symptoms of complicated grief and depression among psychiatric outpatients. Can J Psychiatry 2003;48:87–93.
- 67. De Groot M, de Keijser J, Neeleman J, et al. Cognitive behaviour therapy to prevent complicated grief among relatives and spouses bereaved by suicide: cluster randomised controlled trial. Br Med J 2007;334:994.
- Dillen L, Fontaine JR, Verhofstadt-Deneve L. Confirming the distinctiveness of complicated grief from depression and anxiety among adolescents. Death Stud 2009;33:437–461.
- Goldsmith B, Morrison RS, Vanderwerker LC, Prigerson HG. Elevated rates of prolonged grief disorder in African Americans. Death Stud 2008;32:352–365.
- Forstmeier S, Maercker A. Comparison of two diagnostic systems for complicated grief. J Affect Disord 2007;99:203–211.
- Kersting A, Kroker K, Horstmann J, et al. Complicated grief in patients with unipolar depression. J Affect Disord 2009;118:201–204.
- Kersting A, Kroker K, Steinhard J, et al. Complicated grief after traumatic loss: a 14-month follow up study. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2007;257:437–443.

 Langner R, Maercker A. Complicated grief as a stress response disorder: evaluating diagnostic criteria in a german sample. J Psychosom Res 2005;58:235–242.

- 74. Parkes CM. Symposium on complicated grief. OMEGA 2005–2006;52:1–7.
- Stroebe M, Schut H. Complicated grief: a conceptual analysis of the field. OMEGA 2005–2006;52:53–70.
- Morina N, Rudari V, Bleichhardt G, Prigerson HG. Prolonged grief disorder, depression, and posttraumatic stress disorder among bereaved kosovar civilian war survivors: a preliminary investigation. International Journal of Social Psychiatry 2010;56:288–297.
- 77. Prigerson H, Ahmed I, Silverman GK, et al. Rates and risks of complicated grief among psychiatric clinic patients in karachi, pakistan. Death Stud 2002;26:781–792.
- 78. Laor N, Wolmer L, Kora M, et al. Posttraumatic, dissociative and grief symptoms in Turkish children exposed to the 1999 earthquakes. J Nerv Ment Dis 2002;190:824–832.
- Schaal S, Elbert T, Neuner F. Prolonged grief disorder and depression in widows due to the rwandan genocide. Omega (Westport) 2009;59:203–219.
- 80. Bonanno GA, Papa A, Lalande K, et al. Grief processing and deliberate grief avoidance: a prospective comparison of bereaved spouses and parents in the united states and the People's Republic of China. J Consult Clin Psychol 2005;73:86–98.
- Ito M. Complicated grief among general Japanese population: validity and reliability of Brief Grief Questionnaire. *Presentation World Congress of Behavioral and Cognitive Therapies*, Boston, MA; 2010
- 82. Nakajima S, Shirai A, Maki S, et al. Mental health of the families of crime victims and factors related to their recovery. Seishin Shinkeigaku Zasshi 2009;111:423–429.
- 83. Fujisawa D, Miyashita M, Nakajima S, Ito M, Kato M, Kim Y. Prevalence and determinants of complicated grief in general population. J Affect Disord 2010;127:352–358.
- 84. Stroebe M, Boelen PA, van den Hout M, Stroebe W, Salemink E, van den Bout J. Ruminative coping as avoidance: a reinterpretation of its function in adjustment to bereavement. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2007;257:462–472.
- Boelen PA, Van den Bout J, Van den Hout MA. The role of negative interpretations of grief reactions in emotional problems after bereavement. J Behav Ther Exp Psychiatry 2003;34:225–238.
- 86. Boelen PA, van den Bout J, van den Hout MA. Negative cognitions and avoidance in emotional problems after bereavement: a prospective study. Behav Res Ther 2006;44:1657–1672.
- 87. Melhem NM, Rosales C, Karageorge J, et al. Comorbidity of axis i disorders in patients with traumatic grief. J Clin Psychiatry 2001;62:884–887.
- 88. Ott CH. The impact of complicated grief on mental and physical health at various points in the bereavement process. Death Stud 2003;27:249–272.
- Johnson JG, Zhang B, Greer JA, Prigerson HG. Parental control, partner dependency, and complicated grief among widowed adults in the community. J Nerv Ment Dis 2007;195:26–30.
- Vanderwerker LC, Jacobs SC, Parkes CM, Prigerson HG. An exploration of associations between separation anxiety in childhood and complicated grief in later life. J Nerv Ment Dis 2006;194:121–123.
- 91. Prigerson HG, Shear MK, Bierhals AJ, et al. Case histories of traumatic grief. Omega 1997;35:9–24.
- Prigerson HG, Shear MK, Frank E, et al. Traumatic grief: a case of loss-induced trauma. Am J Psychiatry 1997;154:1003–1009.
- Van Doorn C, Kasl SV, Beery LC, et al. The influence of marital quality and attachment styles on traumatic grief and depressive symptoms. J Nerv Ment Dis 1998;186:566–573.

- 94. Schulz R, Boerner K, Shear K, et al. Predictors of complicated grief among dementia caregivers: a prospective study of bereavement. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry 2006;14:650–658.
- Boerner K, Schulz R, Horowitz A. Positive aspects of caregiving and adaptation to bereavement. Psychol Aging 2004;19:668–675.
- Boelen PA, Van den Bout J, Van den Hout MA. The role of cognitive variables in psychological functioning after the death of a first degree relative. Behav Res Ther 2003;41:1123–1136.
- 97. Piper WE, McCallum M, Joyce AS, et al. Patient personality and time-limited group psychotherapy for complicated grief. Int J Group Psychother 2001;51:525–552.
- Robinson T, Marwit SJ. An investigation of the relationship of personality, coping, and grief intensity among bereaved mothers. Death Stud 2006;30:677–696.
- O'Connor MF, Wellisch DK, Stanton AL, et al. Craving love? Enduring grief activates brain's reward center. Neuroimage 2008;42:969–972.
- Kersting A, Kroker K, Horstmann J, et al. Association of mao-a variant with complicated grief in major depression. Neuropsychobiology 2007;56:191–196.
- Maccallum F, Bryant RA. Impaired autobiographical memory in complicated grief. Behav Res Ther 2010;48:328–334.
- Maccallum F, Bryant RA. Self-defining memories in complicated grief. Behav Res Ther 2008;46:1311–1315.
- 103. Golden AM, Dalgleish T, Mackintosh B. Levels of specificity of autobiographical memories and of biographical memories of the deceased in bereaved individuals with and without complicated grief. J Abnorm Psychol 2007;116:786–795.
- Maccallum F, Bryant RA. Social problem solving in complicated grief. Br J Clin Psychol 2010;49:577–590.
- Pasternak RE, Reynolds III CF, Schlernitzauer M, et al. Acute open-trial nortriptyline therapy of bereavement-related depression in late life. J Clin Psychiatry 1991;52:307–310.
- 106. Zisook S, Shuchter SR, Pedrelli P, et al. Bupropion sustained release for bereavement: results of an open trial. J Clin Psychiatry 2001;62:227–230.
- 107. Reynolds 3rd CF, Miller MD, Pasternak RE, et al. Treatment of bereavement-related major depressive episodes in later life: a controlled study of acute and continuation treatment with nortriptyline and interpersonal psychotherapy. Am J Psychiatry 1999;156:202–208.
- Simon NM, Thompson EH, Pollack MH, Shear MK. Complicated grief: a case series using escitalopram. Am J Psychiatry 2007;164:1760–1761.
- Shear MK, Frank E, Foa E, et al. Traumatic grief treatment: a pilot study. Am J Psychiatry 2001;158:1506–1508.
- Shear K, Frank E, Houck PR, Reynolds 3rd CF. Treatment of complicated grief: a randomized controlled trial. J Am Med Assoc 2005;293:2601–2608.
- 111. Clark LA, Watson D. Tripartite model of anxiety and depression: psychometric evidence and taxonomic implications. J Abnorm Psychol 1991;100:316–336.
- 112. Watson D, Weber K, Assenheimer JS, et al. Testing a tripartite model. I. Evaluating the convergent and discriminant validity of anxiety and depression symptom scales. J Abnorm Psychol 1995;104:3–14.
- Watson D, Clark LA, Weber K, et al. Testing a tripartite model. II. Exploring the symptom structure of anxiety and depression in student, adult, and patient samples. J Abnorm Psychol 1995;104: 15–25.
- Viederman M. Grief: normal and pathological variants. Am J Psychiatry 1995;152:1–4.

- 115. Prigerson HG, Frank E, Kasl SV, et al. Complicated grief and bereavement-related depression as distinct disorders: preliminary empirical validation in elderly bereaved spouses. Am J Psychiatry 1995;152:22–30.
- Prigerson HG, Shear MK, Newsom JT, et al. Anxiety among widowed elders: is it distinct from depression and grief? Anxiety 1996;2:1–12.
- 117. Prigerson HG, Bierhals AJ, Kasl SV, et al. Complicated grief as a disorder distinct from bereavement-related depression and anxiety: a replication study. Am J Psychiatry 1996;153: 1484–1486.
- 118. O'Connor M, Lasgaard M, Shevlin M, Guldin MB. A confirmatory factor analysis of combined models of the harvard trauma questionnaire and the inventory of complicated grief-revised: are we measuring complicated grief or posttraumatic stress? J Anxiety Disord 2010;24:672–679.
- Deci EL, La Guardia JG, Moller AC, et al. On the benefits of giving as well as receiving autonomy support: mutuality in close friendships. Pers Soc Psychol Bull 2006;32:313–327.
- 120. Hofer MA. Relationships as regulators: a psychobiologic perspective on bereavement. Psychosom Med 1984;46: 183–197.
- Hofer MA. On the nature and consequences of early loss. Psychosom Med 1996;58:570–581.
- 122. Shear K, Shair H. Attachment, loss, and complicated grief. Dev Psychobiol 2005;47:253–267.
- 123. Boelen PA, van den Bout J. Positive thinking in bereavement: is it related to depression, anxiety, or grief symptomatology? Psychol Rep 2002;91:857–863.
- 124. Johnson JG, First MB, Block S, et al. Stigmatization and receptivity to mental health services among recently bereaved adults. Death Stud 2009;33:691–711.
- 125. Prigerson HG, Maciejewski PK, Reynolds 3rd CF, et al. Inventory of complicated grief: a scale to measure maladaptive symptoms of loss. Psychiatry Res 1995;59:65–79.
- 126. Zhang B, El-Jawahri A, Prigerson HG. Update on bereavement research: evidence-based guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of complicated bereavement. J Palliat Med 2006;9: 1188–1203.
- Prigerson HG. A call for sound empirical testing and evaluation of criteria for complicated grief proposed for DSM-V. OMEGA 2005–2006:52:9–19.
- 128. Jacobs S, Mazure C, Prigerson H. Diagnostic criteria for traumatic grief. Death Stud 2000;24:185–199.
- 129. Prigerson HG, Shear MK, Jacobs SC, et al. Consensus criteria for traumatic grief. A preliminary empirical test. Br J Psychiatry 1999:174:67–73.
- Nolen-Hoeksema S, McBride A, Larson J. Rumination and psychological distress among bereaved partners. J Pers Soc Psychol 1997;72:855–862.
- Lerner A. Diagnostic Criteria in Neurology. Totowa: Humana Press; 2006.
- Bowlby J. Loss: Sadness and Depression. New York, NY: Basic Books; 1980.
- Parkes CM. Bereavement in adult life. Br Med J 1998;316: 856–859.
- 134. Engel GL. Is grief a disease? A challenge for medical research. Psychosom Med 1961;23:18–22.
- Zisook S, Shear K. Grief and bereavement: what psychiatrists need to know. World Psychiatry 2009;8:67–74.

- 136. Kendler KS, Myers J, Zisook S. Does bereavement-related major depression differ from major depression associated with other stressful life events? Am J Psychiatry 2008;165: 1449–1455.
- 137. Zisook S, Shear K, Kendler KS. Validity of the bereavement exclusion criterion for the diagnosis of major depressive episode. World Psychiatry 2007;6:38–43.
- 138. Zisook S, Kendler KS. Is bereavement-related depression different than non-bereavement-related depression? Psychol Med 2007;37:779–794.
- Zisook S, Paulus M, Shuchter SR, Judd LL. The many faces of depression following spousal bereavement. J Affect Disord 1997;45:85–94; discussion 94–95.
- Zisook S, Chentsova-Dutton Y, Shuchter SR. PTSD following bereavement. Ann Clin Psychiatry 1998;10:157–163.
- 141. Zisook S, Shuchter SR. Early psychological reaction to the stress of widowhood. Psychiatry 1991;54:320–333.
- Zisook S, Schneider D, Shuchter SR. Anxiety and bereavement. Psychiatr Med 1990;8:83–96.
- 143. Brent DA, Perper JA, Moritz G, et al. Major depression or uncomplicated bereavement? A follow-up of youth exposed to suicide. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 1994;33:231–239.
- 144. Melhem NM, Walker M, Moritz G, Brent DA. Antecedents and sequelae of sudden parental death in offspring and surviving caregivers. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2008;162:403–410.
- 145. Brent D, Melhem N, Donohoe MB, Walker M. The incidence and course of depression in bereaved youth 21 months after the loss of a parent to suicide, accident, or sudden natural death. Am J Psychiatry 2009;166:786–794.
- 146. Fagiolini A, Shear MK, Reynolds C, et al. Escitalopram for Bereavement-Related Depression: A Pilot Study. Boca Raton, FL; NCDEU Annual Meeting; 2006.
- 147. Hensley PL, Slonimski CK, Uhlenhuth EH, Clayton PJ. Escitalopram: an open-label study of bereavement-related depression and grief. J Affect Disord 2009;113:142–149.
- 148. Ajdacic-Gross V, Ring M, Gadola E, et al. Suicide after bereavement: an overlooked problem. Psychol Med 2008;38: 673–676.
- 149. Stroebe M, Stroebe W, Abakoumkin G. The broken heart: suicidal ideation in bereavement. Am J Psychiatry 2005;162: 2178–2180.
- Goldsmith B. Reducing Suicide: A National Imperative. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2002.
- 151. Mann JJ, Apter A, Bertolote J, et al. Suicide prevention strategies: a systematic review. J Am Med Assoc 2005;294: 2064–2074.
- 152. Simon GE, Savarino J. Suicide attempts among patients starting depression treatment with medications or psychotherapy. Am J Psychiatry 2007;164:1029–1034.
- 153. Parkes CM. Effects of bereavement on physical and mental health–a study of the medical records of widows. Br Med J 1964;2:274–279.
- 154. Parkes CM. The first year of bereavement. A longitudinal study of the reaction of London widows to the death of their husbands. Psychiatry 1970;33:444–467.
- 155. Parkes CM. Bereavement Studies of Grief in Adult Life. New York: International Universities Press, Inc; 1972.
- 156. Engel GL. Grief and grieving. Am J Nurs 1964;64:93-98.
- Glass RM. Is grief a disease? Sometimes. J Am Med Assoc 2005; 293:2658–2660.